

CITY COUNCIL MEETING COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 33 SOUTH MAIN STREET, COLFAX, CA SEAL OF THE

MAYOR KIM DOUGLASS • MAYOR PRO-TEM TOM PARNHAM COUNCILMEMBERS • KEN DELFINO • STEVE HARVEY • TONY HESCH

## REGULAR MEETING AGENDA January 28, 2015 Regular Session begins at 7:00 PM

## **1) CONVENE OPEN SESSION**

- 1A. Pledge of Allegiance
- 1B. Roll Call

## 1C. Approval of Agenda Order

This is the time for changes to the agenda to be considered including removal, postponement, or change to the agenda sequence.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** By motion, accept the agenda as presented or amended.

## 2) PRESENTATION

**City of Colfax Audit Reports as of June 30, 2014,** Ingrid Sheipline (Richardson and Co), Auditor **RECOMMENDATION:** Receive City of Colfax Audit Reports as of June 30, 2014 - Discuss and Approve as Appropriate

## 3) CONSENT CALENDAR

## **RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve Consent Calendar**

All matters listed under the Consent Agenda are considered routine in nature and will be approved by one blanket motion with a roll call vote. There will be no separate discussion of these items <u>unless</u> persons request specific items to be removed from the Consent Agenda for discussion and separate action. Any items removed will be considered after the motion to approve the Consent Agenda. If you wish to have an item pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion, please notify the City staff.

3A. Minutes City Council Meeting of January 14, 2015

**Recommendation:** Approve the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of January 14, 2015.

- 3B. Cash Summary Report December 30, 2014 Recommendation: Receive and File.
- 3C. Quarterly Investment Report Recommendation: Receive and File
- 3D. Approve City Clerk Appointment Recommendation: Approve Resolut
- Recommendation: Approve Resolution 1-2015 Appointing Lorraine Cassidy as City Clerk
- 3E. Grass Valley Street Railroad Crossing Construction Schedule Recommendation: Information only
- 3F. Conflict of Interest Update Recommendation: Approve and File

## 4) COUNCIL, STAFF AND OTHER REPORTS

The purpose of these reports is to provide information to the Council and public on projects, programs, and issues discussed at committee meetings and other items of general information. No decisions will be made on these issues. If a member of the Council prefers formal action be taken on any committee reports or other information, the issue will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda.

- 4A. Committee Reports and Informational Items All Councilmembers
- 4B. **Operations Update City staff**
- 4C. Additional Reports Agency partners



Colfax City Council Meetings are ADA compliant. If you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Clerk at (530) 346-2313 at least 72 hours prior to make arrangements for ensuring your accessibility.

## 5) PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the audience are permitted to address the Council on matters of concern to the public that are not listed on this agenda. Please make your comments as brief as possible. Comments should not exceed three (3) minutes in length. The Council cannot act on items not included on this agenda; however, if action is required it will be referred to staff.

#### 6) COUNCIL BUSINESS

- 6A. Additional Professional Services Requests for Proposals STAFF PRESENTATION: Mark Miller, City Manager RECOMMENDATION: Information only
- 6B. General Plan Policy Updates STAFF PRESENTATION: Mark Miller, City Manager RECOMMENDATION: Receive Update and Discuss as Appropriate
- 6C. Risk Assessment Committee Appointments STAFF PRESENTATION: Mark Miller, City Manager RECOMMENDATION: Appoint Community Members to Committee

## 7) ADJOURNMENT

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and posted this agenda at Colfax City Hall and Colfax Post Office.

Lorraine Cassidy, City Clerk

Administrative Remedies must be exhausted prior to action being initiated in a court of law. If you challenge City Council action in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at a public hearing described in this notice/agenda, or in written correspondence delivered to the City Clerk of the City of Colfax at, or prior to, said public hearing.



## January 28, 2015

Presentation by Richardson & Company, LLP of the Audit, including the following communications required by Generally Accepted Auditing Standards:

Reports issued Audited Financial Statements with auditors opinion Internal Control and Compliance Report (pp 44-45 of Audited Financial Statements) Required communications letter Management letter with findings and recommendations Appropriations limit testing report
Independent Auditor's Report Amounts reported are the responsibility of management Auditor's responsibility Basic financials statements prepared on behalf of the City Unmodified (clean) opinion
Report on Internal Control and Compliance No internal control weaknesses City complied with laws, regulations material to the financials
Financial Statements highlights Overall revenues exceeded expenses General Fund revenues exceeded budget—higher property taxes Positive unrestricted/unassigned fund balance in General Fund of \$154,000 Positive unrestricted Sewer Fund reserve of \$735,000
Required Communications letter Significant adjusted audit differences No misstatements noted requiring adjustments Unadjusted differences noted (immaterial) Building permit revenue recorded on cash basis Landfill liability not adjusted for inflation in 2013 No difficulties in performing the audit and no unusual accounting practices
Management letter No material weaknesses in internal control Good controls in place Most prior year comments were addressed Other items noted Develop a risk assessment process New pronouncement requiring accrual of unfunded pension obligation

## CITY OF COLFAX, CALIFORNIA

Audited Financial Statements and Supplemental Information

June 30, 2014

(This page intentionally left blank.)

# Audited Financial Statements and Supplemental Information

June 30, 2014

## Table of Contents

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS: Statement of Net Position	12
Statement of Activities	13
FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS: Governmental Funds:	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the	
Government-wide Statement of Net Position Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	
<ul> <li>Governmental Funds</li> <li>Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues,</li> <li>Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances to the</li> </ul>	16
Government-wide Statement of Activities Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances	
– Budget and Actual (Budgetary Basis) – General Fund Proprietary Funds:	
Statement of Net Position – Proprietary Fund – Sewer Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position –	
Proprietary Fund – Sewer Fund Statement of Cash Flows – Proprietary Fund – Sewer Fund	
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	
COMBINING STATEMENTS AND INDIVIDUAL FUND STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES Non-Major Governmental Funds:	
Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Governmental Funds Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in	
Fund Balances – Nonmajor Governmental Funds	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government</i>	
Auditing Standards	

(This page intentionally left blank.)



## Richardson & Company, LLP

550 Howe Avenue, Suite 210 Sacramento, California 95825

Telephone: (916) 564-8727 FAX: (916) 564-8728

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the City Council Colfax, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Colfax, California as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Colfax, as of June 30, 2014, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof, and the respective budgetary comparison for the General Fund for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### To the City Council City of Colfax, California Audited Financial Statements

## **Other Matters**

#### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3 through 11 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information provide any assurance.

#### **Other Information**

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Colfax's basic financial statements. The combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 6, 2015 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulation, contracts, grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Richardson & Company, LLP

January 6, 2015

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

As management of the City of Colfax (City), we offer readers of the City's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. We encourage the readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the accompanying basic financial statements and the additional information [provided.

## FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The assets of the City exceeded liabilities by \$16,704,249 (Net Position). These assets are allocated as follows:
  - Net Investment in capital assets \$14,994,932.
    - Total capital outlay for the fiscal year was \$6,056,719. The majority of this outlay was for a Sewer Fund project including a new Pond Liner at the Waste Water Treatment plant, Inflow and Infiltration (I&I) mitigation, and a SCADA monitoring system upgrade. The project is being funded by Federal and State Grants and a loan from the State Water Board.
  - Restricted net position \$991,283. This amount is for Special revenue funds, Capital project funds and Debt service funds. There were no significant changes in this category from the previous year.
  - Unrestricted net position \$718,034. This is a significant increase as compared to negative (\$228,341) for the previous year.
    - The General Fund experienced increased sales tax revenues and a onetime fee collected for a new digital sign on City property. This caused the Governmental activities unrestricted net position to increase by \$206,987, but remains in a negative position of (\$33,246) at the end of the year.
    - In Proprietary Funds, an increase of \$739,388 is primarily due to increased sewer charges (annual increases) and decreased operating costs in the Sewer Fund. These funds are designated for future loan payments on the State Water Board loan. The loan restructured in Fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 waived payments for the 2013 fiscal year payments will resume in fiscal year 2014.
- Total revenues from all sources was \$7,802,899 of which \$4,384,878 was from capital grants and contributions. Total operating expenditures from all sources were \$3,188,886.

## USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Government-Wide Statement of Net Position on page 12 and the Government-Wide Statement of Activities on page 13 provide information about the activities as a whole and present a longer-term view of the City's finances. Fund financial statements start on page 14. For governmental activities, these statements explain how programs and services were financed in the short term (the most recently completed fiscal year), as well as the amounts remaining available for future spending. Fund financial statements by providing information about the City's most significant funds. Fund financial statements also provide financial information about activities for which the City acts solely as a trustee or agent (fiduciary) for the benefit of individuals and entities external to this governmental unit.

## **Reporting the City as a Whole**

Our analysis of the City as a whole begins on page 12. One of the most important questions asked about the City's finances is, "Is the City as a whole better off or worse off as a result of the year's activities?" The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities report information about the City as a whole and about its activities in a way that helps answer this question. These statements include all assets and liabilities, using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting used by most private-sector business entities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received or paid.

These two statements report the City's net position and related changes. You can think of the City's net assets – the difference between assets and liabilities – as one way to measure the City's financial health, or *financial position*. Over time, *increases or decreases* in the City's net position are one indicator of whether its *financial health* is improving or deteriorating. To reach a conclusion on this issue, you may need to consider other matters of a non-financial nature, such as:

- the condition of the City's infrastructure (streets and roadways, storm drainage improvements, sewer system, city hall), or
- the economic vitality of the core business districts, or
- the adequacy of emergency response times of police and fire personnel,

in order to properly assess the *overall health* of the City.

In the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities, we divide the City into two (2) kinds of activities:

- 1. Governmental activities: most of the City's basic services are reported here, including the operations of the police, fire, building inspection, public works and general administration. Taxes (primarily property and sales), licenses, permits, state and federal grants, and franchise payments finance most of these activities.
- 2. Business-type activities: the City charges fees to customers to cover most of the cost of certain services and programs it provides. The City's wastewater treatment operations are reported here.

## **Reporting the City's Most Significant Funds**

Our analysis of the City's major funds begins on page 14. The fund financial statements provide detailed information about the most significant funds – not the City as a whole. Some funds are required to be established by State law (Gas Tax and Law Enforcement Grants funds). However, the City Council establishes many other funds to help it control and manage money for particular purposes or to show that it is meeting legal responsibilities for using certain taxes, grants and other money. The City's two (2) kinds of funds – *governmental* and *proprietary* (business activities/enterprise funds) – use different accounting approaches:

- <u>Governmental funds</u>: Most of the City's basic services are reported in governmental funds, which focus on how money flows into and out of those funds, and the balances left at year-end that may be available for future spending. These funds are reported using an accounting method described as *modified accrual* accounting. This accounting method (basis) measures the availability of cash and all other financial assets that can readily be converted into cash. The governmental fund statements provide a detailed *short-term view* of the City's general government operations, and the basic services it provides to residents and visitors of the City. Governmental fund information helps you to determine what financial resources are available to be spent in the near future to finance the City's programs. We describe the relationship (or differences) between governmental *activities* (reported in the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities) and the governmental *funds*, in a reconciliation (see pages 15 and 17).
- <u>Proprietary funds</u>: When the City charges customers for the full cost for the services it provides, those services are generally reported in proprietary funds. Proprietary funds are reported in the same way that all activities are reported in the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities. The City's enterprise funds (Sewer Operations) are the business-type activities that we report in the government-wide statements, but provide more detail and additional information, such as cash flows.

## THE CITY AS A WHOLE

As noted earlier, net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the City of Colfax, assets exceeded liabilities by \$16,704,249 at the close of the current fiscal year.

By far the largest portion of the City's net position reflects its investment in capital assets (e.g., land, street, sewer and storm drain systems, buildings and park assets, machinery and equipment), less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding. The City uses these capital assets to provide services to citizens; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the City's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debts, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

The City's combined net position for the years ending June 30, 2013 and 2012 are summarized (Table 1), as follows:

	Govern	mental	Busi	iness		
	Activ	vities	Activ	vities	То	otal
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Assets:						
Current and						
other assets	\$ 1,769	\$ 1,507	\$ 1,316	\$ 572	\$ 3,085	\$ 2,079
Capital assets	4,163	3,950	20,998	15,783	25,161	19,733
<b>Total Assets</b>	5,932	5,457	22,314	16,355	28,246	21,812
Liabilities:						
Long-term						
liabilities	1,096	1,255	9,956	8,152	11,052	9,407
Other liabilities	162	109	328	206	490	315
Total Liabilities	1,258	1,364	10,284	8,358	11,542	9,722
Net Position:						
Net Investment in						
captial assets	3,716	3,420	11,279	7,985	14,995	11,405
Restricted	991	913	-	-	991	913
Unrestricted	(33)	(240)	751	12	718	(228)
<b>Total Net Position</b>	\$ 4,674	\$ 4,093	\$12,030	\$ 7,997	\$16,704	\$12,090

## Table 1 City of Colfax, Net Position (in Thousands)

ъ.

. 1


The amount reported for net position of Governmental activities does not include the value of the City's infrastructure (roadways, bridges and storm drainage improvements) constructed prior to July 1, 2003.


## **Governmental Activities**

The City's governmental activities net position increased by \$581,024 (before transfers). As noted in Table 2 below, the primary contributors are an increase in sales tax revenues, a one-time fee collected for a digital sign on City property (charges for services) and decreased operating expenditures.


Table 2

	City	of Colfax, Cl	nange in Net Po ousands)	osition			
		nmental vities		iness vities	Total		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Revenues:							
Program revenues:							
Charges for services	\$ 230	\$ 132	\$ 1,657	\$ 1,606	\$ 1,887	\$ 1,738	
Operating grants and							
contributions	141	232	-	-	141	232	
Capital grants and							
contributions	421	402	3,964	902	4,385	1,304	
General revenues:							
Sales taxes	735	544			735	544	
Property taxes	283	280	7	7	290	287	
Other taxes and fees	289	317			289	317	
Earnings on investments	8	9	15	6	23	15	
Other	53	68			53	68	
Total revenues	2,160	1,984	5,643	2,521	7,803	4,505	
Expenses:							
General government	411	422			411	422	
Public Safety	688	679			688	679	
Public works	275	369			275	369	
Engineering	13	27			13	27	
Culture and recreation	47	30			47	30	
Community development	145	96			145	96	
Sewer	-	-	1,610	1,772	1,610	1,772	
Garbage	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total expenses	1,579	1,623	1,610	1,772	3,189	3,395	
Change in net position							
before transfers	581	361	4,033	749	4,614	1,110	
Transfers	-	(1)	-	1	-	-	
Change in net position	581	360	4,033	750	4,614	1,110	
Net position, July 1	4,093	4,078	7,997	6,902	12,090	10,980	
Transfer of solid waste net position	-	(345)	-	345	-	-	
Net position, June 30	\$ 4,674	\$ 4,093	\$ 12,030	\$ 7,997	\$ 16,704	\$ 12,090	





## **Fiscal Year 2012-2013 Governmental Activities – Program Expenses** (Graphic representation of Table 2 in percentages)



## **Business-Type Activities**

Business-type activities increased the City of Colfax's net assets by \$4,032,989. Detailed revenues and expenditures are reported in Table 2 on page 8. Some of the major contributors to this change are:

• The City was entitled to capital grants reimbursements for the Pond 3 Liner/I&I Mitigation/SCADA project. The total grants collected and accrued were \$3,964,080.

## CAPITAL ASSET AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

## Capital Assets

The capital assets of the City are those assets that are used in performance of City functions including infrastructure assets. Capital assets include police, fire and public works equipment, vehicles, buildings, roads, wastewater treatment facilities and sewer lines. At June 30, 2013, net capital assets of the governmental activities totaled \$4,163,142 and the net capital assets of the business-type activities totaled \$20,998,312. Depreciation on capital assets is recognized in the Government-Wide financial statements. (See table 3 and Note D to the financial statements.)

	Governmental Activities			Business Activities					Total			
		2013		2012	2013		2012		2013		2012	
Land	\$	560	\$	560	\$	135	\$	135	\$	695	\$	695
Construction in progress		46		20		6,401		758		6,447		778
Building and Improvements		4,291		3,939		17,879		17,879		22,170		21,818
Vehicles		444		444		3		3		447		447
Furnitures and Fixtures		7		7		-		-		7		7
Machinery and equipment		471		471		467		432		938		903
Accumulated depreciation		(1,656)		(1,491)		(3,887)		(3,424)		(5,543)		(4,915)
Total Assets	\$	4,163	\$	3,950	\$	20,998	\$	15,783	\$	25,161	\$	19,733

#### Table 3 City of Colfax, Capital Assets (in Thousands)

Major capital asset improvements and additions during the current fiscal year included the following:

• Completion of an improvements project for the City ball field and the addition of a Splash Park facility in Governmental assets.

• Construction costs for the Sewer project started last year – Pond 3 Liner installation, I&I system improvements and SCADA program upgrades. Costs capitalized for fiscal year 2013 total \$5,643,803. This entire project completes in the first half of fiscal year 2014.

## Long-Term Debt

At year-end, the City of Colfax had total long-term debts outstanding of \$11,053,162, as compared to a total of \$9,407,048 last year (See Table 4 and Note E to the financial statements).

	Governmental Activities			Business Activities					Total			
	2	2013		2012	2013 2012 2013		2013	2012				
Note Payable	\$	448	\$	530	\$	-	\$	-	\$	448	\$	530
Compensated absences		18		22		10		13		28		35
Landfill Closure		556		578		-		-		556		578
General obligation bond		-		-		31		36		31		36
County Loan		-		-		1,000		-		1,000		-
State Loans		-		-		8,688		7,761		8,688		7,761
Legal Settlements		75		125		227		342		302		467
Total Long-term Debt	\$	1,097	\$	1,255	\$	9,956	\$	8,152	\$	11,053	\$	9,407

#### Table 4 City of Colfax, Outstanding Debt (in Thousands)

The City's long-term debt includes: compensated absences due employees for accrued vacation and sick leave pay, Post Closure expenses related to the City's closed landfill site, notes payable for the construction of the sewer plant and improvements projects, and notes payable for the purchase of property and legal settlements. Additionally, the City issued general obligation bonds to finance the sewer facility back in 1978.

The increase over last year is due to the State Loan funds for the Sewer project and a loan from Placer County for working capital during the Sewer project due to the reimbursement process of the project grants. Additional information on City debt is included in Note E of the financial statements.

## ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET

In considering the City Budget for fiscal year 2013-2014, the City Council and management were cautious as to the growth of revenues and expenditures due to the lingering effects of the economic downturn in recent years.

Operating revenues and expenses are projected to remain fairly level with fiscal year 2012-2013. The City will continue to provide existing services at the reduced staff levels achieved over the past several years.

Capital projects expected for fiscal year 2013-2014 include:

- Completion of Sewer project Pond Liner installation, I&I system improvements and SCADA system upgrade.
- Road Rehabilitation improvements and the initial phase of the Railroad pedestrian crossing project.

## CONTACTING THE CITY'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and investors and creditors with a general overview of the City's finances, and to show the City's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Director of Finance, City of Colfax, 33 S. Main Street, Colfax, California 95713.

(This page intentionally left blank.)

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(This page intentionally left blank.)

# Audited Financial Statements MENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

## June 30, 2014

	Governmental Activities	Business-type Activities	Total
ASSETS			
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable, net of allowance	\$ 1,704,861	\$ 782,000	\$ 2,486,861
for doubtful accounts	41,670	198,779	240,449
Due from other government agencies	195,680	3,602	199,282
Interest receivable	844	621	1,465
Notes receivable	51,665	20,953	72,618
Prepaid expenses		864	864
Total current assets	1,994,720	1,006,819	3,001,539
Noncurrent assets:			
Restricted cash		476,026	476,026
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation			
Non-depreciable	879,403	134,700	1,014,103
Depreciable	3,390,790	20,418,564	23,809,354
	4,270,193	21,029,290	25,299,483
Total assets	6,264,913	22,036,109	28,301,022
LIABILITIES			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	115,691	78,523	194,214
Accrued expenses	12,326	70,267	82,593
Unearned revenue	15,928		15,928
Current portion of long-term liabilities	11,602	367,655	379,257
Total current liabilities	155,547	516,445	671,992
Long-term liabilities:			
Postclosure landfill costs	551,632		551,632
Bonds payable		20,000	20,000
Notes payable	252,395	9,425,477	9,677,872
Compensated absences	11,602	5,546	17,148
Total long-term liabilities	815,629	9,451,023	10,266,652
Total liabilities	971,176	9,967,468	10,938,644
NET POSITION			
Net investment in capital assets	4,017,798	10,856,997	14,874,795
Restricted net position	792,863	476,026	1,268,889
Unrestricted net position	483,076	735,618	1,218,694
Total net position	\$ 5,293,737	\$ 12,068,641	\$ 17,362,378

## Audited Financial Statements VERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

#### For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

		]	Program Reven					
		Charges for	Operating Grants and	Car	oital Grants	Governmental	Business-type	
	Expenses	Services	Contributions			Activities	Activities	Total
Governmental Activities								
General government	\$ 467,893	\$ 111,077				\$ (356,816)		\$ (356,816)
Public safety	732,066	43,973	\$ 120,714			(567,379)		(567,379)
Public works	286,728		23,361	\$	98,843	(164,524)		(164,524)
Community development	86,526		5,000		72,882	(8,644)		(8,644)
Engineering services	38,189					(38,189)		(38,189)
Culture and recreation	60,202	2,890	110.055		151 525	(57,312)		(57,312)
Total governmental activities	1,671,604	157,940	149,075		171,725	(1,192,864)		(1,192,864)
Business-type activities:								
Sewer	1,579,012	1,705,137					\$ 126,125	126,125
Interest on long-term debt	102,388	1,700,107					(102,388)	(102,388)
Total business-type activities	1,681,400	1,705,137					23,737	23,737
21								·
Total government	\$3,353,004	\$1,863,077	\$ 149,075	\$	171,725	(1,192,864)	23,737	(1,169,127)
		<b>C</b> 1						
		General reven Taxes:	ues					
			d use taxes			928,729		928,729
		Property				292,311	5,750	298,061
			t occupancy tax			18,282	5,750	18,282
		Franchis				135,584		135,584
		Gas taxe	s			68,398		68,398
		Motor vehi	cle in-lieu			116,957		116,957
		Investment	income			5,266	8,741	14,007
		Rental inco	ome			46,646		46,646
		Miscellane	ous			2,223		2,223
		Total general	revenues			1,614,396	14,491	1,628,887
		Changes in ne				421,532	38,228	459,760
			beginning of ye	ar,		1 (72 92)	12 020 412	16 704 240
		as previously Restatement	reported			4,673,836 198,369	12,030,413	16,704,249 198,369
			beginning of ye	ar		4,872,205	12,030,413	16,902,618
		rec position,	segnining of ye			4,072,205	12,030,415	10,702,010
		Net position,	end of year			\$ 5,293,737	\$ 12,068,641	\$17,362,378

#### BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

## June 30, 2014

	Major Funds							
		General Fund	Capital Projects Fund		Nonmajor Governmental Funds			Total
ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable, net of allowance	\$ 1	,020,891	\$	186,316	\$	497,654	\$	1,704,861
for doubtful accounts		41,670						41,670
Due from other governmental agencies		138,424		44,587		12,669		195,680
Interest receivable		493		95		256		844
Notes receivable						51,665		51,665
Due from other funds		5,524						5,524
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 1	,207,002	\$	230,998	\$	562,244	\$	2,000,244
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES								
Liabilities: Accounts payable	\$	94.652	\$	3.544	\$	17 405	\$	115,691
Accrued expenses	Ф	94,632 8,432	Ф	5,544	Ф	17,495 3,894	Ф	12,326
Unearned revenue		15,928				5,074		15,928
Due to other funds						5,524		5,524
Total liabilities		119,012		3,544		26,913		149,469
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES								
Unavailable revenue				44,587	·			44,587
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF				11 507				11 507
RESOURCES				44,587	·			44,587
Fund balances:								
Nonspendable						51,665		51,665
Committed		131,812						131,812
Restricted		801,710		182,867		483,666		1,468,243
Unassigned	1	154,468		102 077		525 221		154,468
TOTAL FUND BALANCES TOTAL LIABILITIES,		,087,990		182,867		535,331		1,806,188
DEFERRED INFLOWS, AND								
FUND BALANCES	<u>\$</u> 1	,207,002	\$	230,998	\$	562,244	\$	2,000,244
							_	

## Audited Financial Statements RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET TO GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

#### June 30, 2014

Total Governmental Fund Balances	\$ 1,806,188
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:	
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the governmental funds balance sheet.	4,270,193
Certain receivables are not available to pay current period expenditures and therefore are deferred in the governmental funds	44.587
Long-term liabilities, including notes payable, are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the governmental funds balance sheet.	(827,231)
Net Position of Governmental Activities	\$ 5,293,737

# Audited Financial Statements us, expenditures, and changes in fund balances - Governmental funds

#### For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

	Major	Funds		
	General Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
REVENUES:	<b>. . . . . . . . . .</b>		¢ 60.000	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Taxes	\$ 1,309,343		\$ 68,398	\$ 1,377,741
Licenses, fees and permits	68,893	* • • • • • • •	918	69,811
Intergovernmental revenues	158,731	\$ 248,295	203,843	610,869
Charges for services	149,757			149,757
Fines, forfeitures and penalties	7,154		<b>2 5</b> 0 <b>7</b>	7,154
Use of money and property	48,893	451	2,587	51,931
Other revenue	1,286	210 515		1,286
Total revenues	1,744,057	248,746	275,746	2,268,549
EXPENDITURES:				
General government	523,128		4,336	527,464
Public safety	583,366		110,625	693,991
Public works	88,232		168,827	257,059
Community development	,	1,928	14,624	16,552
Engineering services	38,189	,	,	38,189
Cultural and recreation	46,080			46,080
Debt Service:	,			*
Principal	194,948			194,948
Capital outlay		75,337		75,337
Total expenditures	1,473,943	77,265	298,412	1,849,620
Excess (deficiency) of revenues				
over (under) expenditures	270,114	171,481	(22,666)	418,929
		- 7 -		- 7
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in		1,484	62,967	64,451
Transfers out	(10,625)		(53,826)	(64,451)
Total other financing sources (uses)	(10,625)	1,484	9,141	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues and other				
sources over expenditures and other uses	259,489	172,965	(13,525)	418,929
Fund balance - beginning of year	828,501	9,902	548,856	1,387,259
r und balance - beginning of year	020,301	9,902	540,050	1,307,237
Fund balance - end of year	\$ 1,087,990	\$ 182,867	\$ 535,331	\$ 1,806,188

# Audited Financial Statements N of the governmental funds statement of Revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances to the government-wide statement of activities

For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

Net Change in Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds	\$ 418,929
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:	
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the government-wide statement of activities and changes in net position, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives as	
depreciation expense. This is the amount of capital assets recorded in the current period.	
Capital outlay	75,337
Depreciation expense	(166,417)
Governmental funds report proceeds from disposal of capital assets as revenues. However, in the government-wide statement of activities only the gain or (loss) on the sale of capital assets is reported. This is the difference between the gain or (loss) and proceeds.	(238)
Debt proceeds provide current financial resources to governmental funds, but issuing debt increases long-term liabilities in the Government-wide Statement of Net Position. Repayment of principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but the repayment reduces long-term liabilities in the statement of net position. These are the amount by which repayments exceed proceeds. Gard Family Living Trust note payments Postclosure landfill costs Settlement payments	194,948 4,472 75,000
Some receivables are deferred in the governmental funds because the amounts do not represent current financial resources that are recognized under the accrual basis in the statement of activities. Deferred revenue recognized Deferred revenue recognized last year	44,587 (220,000)
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not expenditures in the governmental funds.	(5 0.96)
Change in compensated absences	 (5,086)
Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities	\$ 421,532

## Audited Financial Statemeensues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances -BUDGET AND ACTUAL (BUDGETARY BASIS) - GENERAL FUND

#### For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

	Budgeted Amounts Original Final			Actual Amounts (Budgetary Basis) (See Note A)		Variance with Final Budget Positive/ (Negative)		
Revenues:								
Taxes	\$	946,000	\$	946,000	\$	1,309,343	\$	363,343
Licenses, fees and permits		38,250		38,250		68,893		30,643
Intergovernmental		150,000		150,000		158,731		8,731
Charges for services		137,600		137,600		149,757		12,157
Fines and forfeitures		10,000		10,000		7,154		(2,846)
Use of money and property		44,975		44,975		48,893		3,918
Other revenue		5,000		5,000		1,286		(3,714)
Total revenues		1,331,825		1,331,825		1,744,057		412,232
Expenditures: Current: General government and administration Public safety Public works Engineering services Culture and recreation Debt Service: Principal Capital outlay Total expenditures Excess (deficiency) of revenues		487,083 572,013 90,589 15,000 36,935 20,000 13,000 1,234,620		487,083 572,013 90,589 15,000 36,935 20,000 13,000 1,234,620		523,128 583,366 88,232 38,189 46,080 194,948 1,473,943		(36,045) (11,353) 2,357 (23,189) (9,145) (174,948) 13,000 (239,323)
over expenditures		97,205		97,205		270,114		172,909
Other financing sources (uses): Transfers in								
Transfers out						(10,625)		(10,625)
Total other financing uses						(10,625)		(10,625)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues and other sources over expenditures and other uses		97,205		97,205		259,489		162,284
Fund balances - beginning of year		828,501		828,501		828,501		
Fund balances - end of year	\$	925,706	\$	925,706	\$	1,087,990	\$	162,284

# Audited Financial Statements STATEMENT OF NET POSITION PROPRIETARY FUND - SEWER FUND

## June 30, 2014

ASSETS: Current Assets: Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$19,131 Notes receivable Due from other governmental agencies Interest receivable Prepaid expenses Total current assets	\$ 782,000 198,779 20,953 3,602 621 864 1,006,819
Noncurrent Assets: Restricted cash Capital assets: Nondepreciable Depreciable Total capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation Total assets	476,026 134,700 20,418,564 20,553,264 22,036,109
LIABILITIES: Current Liabilities: Accounts payable Accrued expenses Current portion of long-term liabilities Total current liabilities	78,523 70,267 <u>367,655</u> 516,445
Long-term Liabilities: Bonds payable, long-term portion Notes payable, long-term portion Compensated absences Total long-term liabilities Total liabilities	20,000 9,425,477 5,546 9,451,023 9,967,468
NET POSITION: Invested in capital assets, net of related debt Restricted Unrestricted Total net position	10,856,997 476,026 735,618 \$ 12,068,641

# Audited Financial Statements evenues, expenses, and changes in Net Position PROPRIETARY FUND - SEWER FUND

#### For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

Operating revenues:		
Service charges	\$	1,647,790
Permits		13,917
Miscellaneous		43,430
Total operating revenues		1,705,137
Operating expenses:		
Personnel services		347,324
Operation and maintenance		681,905
Depreciation		549,783
Total operating expenses		1,579,012
Income from operations		126,125
Non-operating revenues (expenses):		
Interest income		8,741
Tax assessment		5,750
Interest expense		(102,388)
Total nonoperating revenues		(87,897)
Change in net position		38,228
Net position, beginning of year	]	12,030,413
Net position, end of year	\$ 1	12,068,641

# Audited Financial Statements STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS PROPRIETARY FUND - SEWER FUND

## For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

Cash Flows from Operating Activities:	
Cash received from customers	\$ 1,776,548
Cash paid to suppliers	(669,753)
Cash paid to employees and related benefits	(346,630)
Cash provided by operating activities	760,165
Cash Flows from Noncapital Financing Activities:	
Tax assessments received	5,750
Cash provided by noncapital financing activities	5,750
Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities:	
Capital expenditures	(211,918)
Debt proceeds received	982,088
Debt principal paid	(1,005,000)
Intergovernmental revenues received	55,125
Settlements paid	(115,707)
Interest paid	(115,767)
Cash used for capital and related financing activities	(481,272)
Cash used for capital and related financing activities	(401,272)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:	
Interest received	9,466
Notes issued	(20,953)
Cash used for investing activities	(11,487)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	273,156
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	984,870
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 1,258,026
Pacanciliation of each and each aquivalents to the statement of not position:	
Reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents to the statement of net position: Cash and investments	¢ 782.000
	\$ 782,000 476.026
Restricted cash and investments	476,026
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,258,026
Reconciliation of operating income from operations to cash	
provided by operating activities:	
Operating income	\$ 126,125
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to cash	ψ 120,125
provided by operating activities:	
Depreciation	549,783
(Increase) decrease in assets:	547,785
Accounts receivable	71,411
(Decrease) increase in liabilities:	/1,+11
Accounts payable	12,152
Accrued expenses	
Accrued compensated absences	(6) 700
Actuca compensatea absences	/00
Cash provided by operating activities	\$ 760,165

# NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(This page intentionally left blank.)

#### CITY OF COLFAX, CALIFORNIA

# Audited Financial Statements TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### June 30, 2014

#### NOTE A – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The City of Colfax was incorporated in 1910, under the laws and regulations of the State of California. The City operates under a Council-Manager form of government and provides the following services: public safety, highways and streets, sewer, culture-recreation, public improvements, planning and zoning, and general administrative services. The voters of the City of Colfax, California, give authority and responsibility for operations to the City Council. The City Council has the authority to employ administrative and support personnel to carry out its directives. The primary method used to monitor the performance of the City's management is the financial budget, which is adopted annually by the City Council.

The financial statements of the City have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applied to governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the standard-setting body for governmental accounting and financial reporting. On June 15, 1987, the GASB issued a codification of the existing Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards which, along with subsequent GASB pronouncements (Statements and Interpretations), constitutes GAAP for governmental units. The City applies all GASB pronouncements. In addition the City applies all Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statements and Interpretations, Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinions and Accounting Research Bulletins (ARB) issued on or before November 30, 1989, unless they conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements. The more significant of these accounting policies are described below and, where appropriate, subsequent pronouncements will be referenced.

<u>Reporting Entity</u>: The City operates as a self-governing local government unit within the state of California. It has limited authority to levy taxes and has the authority to determine user fees for the services that it provides. Voters elect a city council that passes laws and determines broad policies. The council also oversees the operations of the City and approves all budgets, fund transfers and fund balance reserves. The City's main funding sources include property taxes, sales taxes, other inter-governmental revenue from state and federal sources, user fees, and federal and state financial assistance.

<u>Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements</u>: The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and statement of activities) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Certain indirect costs are included in the program expenses of most business-type activities. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services and privileges provided by a given function or segment, and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### June 30, 2014

#### NOTE A – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

The accounts of the City are organized on the basis of funds. A fund is a separate self-balancing set of accounts. Each fund was established for the purpose of accounting for specific activities in accordance with applicable regulations, restrictions or limitations. Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds, even though the last is excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds and major individual enterprise funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

<u>Measurement Focus</u>, Basis of Accounting and Basis of Presentation: The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary funds financial statements and fiduciary fund statements, with the exception of agency funds, which have no measurement focus. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements are met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the City considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 90 days of the end of the current fiscal period, with the exception of property taxes. Property taxes are considered to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the current fiscal period. Amounts received after the availability period are reported as unavailable revenues. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments are recorded only when payment is due.

Major revenues that are determined to be susceptible to accrual include property taxes and assessments, sales taxes, franchise taxes, charges for services, intergovernmental revenues, and earnings on investments. Sales taxes collected and held by the state at year end on behalf of the government are also recognized as revenue. Other receipts and taxes become measurable and available when cash is received by the government and are recognized as revenue at that time. Entitlements and shared revenues (government mandated nonexchange transactions) are recognized when the City has satisfied all applicable eligibility requirements and if the amounts are measurable. If the grant funds are received before the revenue recognition criteria are satisfied, the unearned amounts are reported as unearned revenue.

The City reports the following major governmental funds:

<u>General Fund</u> – The General Fund is the primary operating fund of the City. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

<u>Capital Projects Funds</u> – Capital Projects Funds are used to account for financial resources used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities.

The City reports the following major enterprise fund:

<u>Sewer Fund</u> – The Sewer Fund is used to account for the operations of the City's sewer services.

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## June 30, 2014

## NOTE A – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Additionally, the City reports the following fund types:

#### GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

<u>Special Revenue Funds</u> – Special Revenue Funds are used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources (not including private purpose trusts or major capital projects) that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes.

## PROPRIETARY FUNDS

<u>Enterprise Funds</u> – Enterprise Funds are used to account for operations (a) that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises - where the intent of the governing body is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges; or (b) where the governing body has decided that periodic determination of revenues earned, expenses incurred, and/or net income is appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management control, accountability, or other purposes.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the City's enterprise fund are charges to customers or other funds for sales and services. Operating expenses for enterprise funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

<u>Cash and Cash Equivalents</u>: The City's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition, including the City's investment in California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). Highly liquid money market investments with maturities of one year or less at time of purchase are stated at amortized cost.

<u>Receivables and Payables</u>: Sales, use, and utility user taxes related to the current fiscal year are accrued as revenue and accounts receivable and considered available if received within 90 days of year end. Property taxes related to the current fiscal year are accrued as revenue and accounts receivable and considered available if received within 60 days of year-end. Federal and State grants are considered receivable and accrued as revenue when reimbursable costs are incurred under the accrual basis of accounting in the government-wide statement of net position. The amount recognized as revenue under the modified accrual basis of accounting is limited to the amount that is deemed measurable and collectible. Unbilled utility revenue earned is recognized as revenue and accounts receivable in the enterprise funds.

Transactions between funds that represent lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year are referred to as either "due to/from other funds" (i.e., the current portion of interfund loans) or "advances to/from other funds" (i.e., the non-current portion of interfund loans). Any residual balances outstanding between the governmental activities and business-type activities are reported in the government-wide financial statements as "internal balances." Eliminations have not been made between or within the fund types.

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## June 30, 2014

### NOTE A – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

An allowance for doubtful accounts of \$869 and \$19,131 has been provided for General and Sewer Funds, respectively, for accounts that are deemed uncollectible.

<u>Property Taxes</u>: The County of Placer (the County) is responsible for the collection and allocation of property taxes. Under California law, property taxes are assessed and collected by the County up to 1% of the full cash value of taxable property, plus other increases approved by the voters and distributed in accordance with statutory formulas. The City recognizes property taxes when the individual installments are due, provided they are collected within 60 days after year end. Secured property taxes are levied on or before January 1 of each year. They become a lien on real property on January 1. These taxes are paid in two equal installments; the first is due November 1 and delinquent with penalties after December 10; the second is due February 1 and delinquent with penalties after April 10. Secured property taxes, which are delinquent and unpaid as of June 30, are declared to be tax defaulted and are subject to redemption penalties, costs and interest when paid. These taxes are secured by liens on the property being taxed.

The term "unsecured" refers to taxes on personal property other than land and buildings. Property tax revenues are recognized in the fiscal year for which they are levied, provided they are due and collected within sixty days after fiscal year-end. The County apportions secured property tax revenue in accordance with the alternate methods of distribution, the "Teeter Plan," as prescribed by Section 4717 of the California Revenue and Taxation code. Therefore, the City receives 100% of the secured property tax levies to which it is entitled, whether or not collected. Unsecured delinquent taxes are considered fully collectible.

These taxes are accrued as intergovernmental receivables only if they are received from the County within 60 days after year end for the governmental funds and are accrued when earned for government-wide presentation regardless of the timing of the related cash flows.

<u>Capital Assets</u>: Capital assets for governmental fund types of the City are not capitalized in the funds used to acquire or construct them. Capital acquisitions are reflected as expenditure in the governmental fund, and the related assets are reported in the government-wide financial statements at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Contributed capital assets are recorded at their estimated fair market value on the date donated.

Public domain (infrastructure) capital assets consisting of certain improvements other than buildings, but including roads, bridges, curbs and gutters, streets and sidewalks, drainage systems, and lighting systems have been capitalized prospectively beginning July 1, 2003.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets' lives are not included in the current year's additions to governmental or business-type capital assets. Capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following useful lives:

Buildings and improvements	10 to 50 years
Sewer facility improvements and design costs	20 to 40 years
Safety equipment	5 to 10 years
Vehicles and heavy equipment	5 to 15 years
Furniture and other equipment	5 to 7 years

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## June 30, 2014

### NOTE A – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

It is the policy of the City to capitalize all land, building, improvements, equipment, and eventually infrastructure assets, except assets costing less than \$5,000. Interest incurred during the construction phase of capital assets of business-type activities is reflected in the capitalized value of the asset constructed, net of interest earned on the invested proceeds over the same period. Costs of assets sold or retired and the resulting gain or loss is included in the operating statement of the related proprietary fund. In governmental funds, the sale of general capital assets is included in the statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances as proceeds from sale. The proceeds reported in the governmental fund are eliminated and the gain or loss on sale is reported in the government-wide presentation.

<u>Compensated Absences</u>: It is the City's policy to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused vacation. Vacation credits must be used during the next succeeding year. Vacation is accrued when incurred in the government-wide presentation and in the proprietary funds and reported as a fund liability. Amounts that are expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources, for example, as a result of employee resignations or retirements that are currently payable, are reported as expenditures and a fund liability of the governmental fund that will pay it. Amounts not expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources represent a reconciling item between the fund and government-wide presentation. No expenditure is reported in the governmental fund financial statements for these amounts.

Unused vacation is paid to employees upon termination after one year of service. The maximum accrual for all employees for vacation is one times the employees' annual vacation leave credits. There is no limit as to the accrual of sick leave. Sick leave is not payable upon termination, but may be converted to service credits under the City's defined benefit pension plan.

<u>Long-term Obligations</u>: Long-term debt of governmental funds are reported at face value in the government-wide financial statements and represent a reconciling item between the fund and government-wide presentation. Certain other governmental fund obligations not expected to be financed with current available financial resources are also reported in the government-wide financial statements and represent a reconciling item between the fund and government-wide financial statements and represent a reconciling item between the fund and government-wide presentation. Long-term debt and other obligations financed by proprietary funds are reported as liabilities in the appropriate funds.

For governmental fund types, proceeds from borrowing are reported as an other financing source net of the applicable premium or discount. Issuance costs, even if withheld from the actual net proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

<u>Fund Equity</u>: In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned and unassigned balances.

<u>Nonspendable Funds</u> – Fund balance should be reported as nonspendable when the amounts cannot be spent because they are either not in spendable form, or are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. Nonspendable balances are not expected to be converted to cash within the next operating cycle, which comprise pre-paid items and long-term receivables.

<u>Restricted Funds</u> – Fund balance should be reported as restricted when constraints placed on the use of resources are either externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## June 30, 2014

## NOTE A – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

<u>Committed Funds</u> – Fund balance should be reported as committed when the amounts can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action of the government's highest level of decision-making authority, the City Council. These amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the government's City Council modifies, or removes the fund balance commitment.

<u>Assigned Funds</u> – Fund balance should be reported as assigned when the amounts are constrained by the government's intent to be used for specific purposes, but are neither restricted nor committed.

<u>Unassigned Funds</u> – Unassigned fund balance is the residual classification of the City's funds and includes all spendable amounts that have not been restricted, committed, or assigned to specific purposes.

<u>Net Position</u>: The government-wide financial statements utilize a net position presentation. Net position is categorized as investment in capital assets (net of related debt), restricted and unrestricted.

<u>Net Investment in Capital Assets</u> – This category groups all capital assets into one component of net position. Accumulated depreciation and the outstanding balances of debt that are attributable to the acquisition, construction or improvement of these assets reduce the balance in this category.

<u>Restricted Net Position</u> – This category presents external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors or laws or regulations of other governments and restrictions imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

<u>Unrestricted Net Position</u> – This category represents net position of the City not restricted for any project or other purpose.

The City Council establishes, modifies or rescinds fund balance commitments and assignments by passage of a resolution. When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted, committed, assigned and unassigned resources as they are needed. The City's committed, assigned, or unassigned amounts are considered to have been spent when an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of those unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used.

<u>Use of Estimates</u>: The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

<u>Budgetary Information</u>: The City Council annually adopts the budget resolution for all operating funds of the City. Budgetary control is legally maintained at the fund level. Department heads submit budget requests to the City Administrator. The Administrator prepares an estimate of revenues and prepares recommendations for the next year's budget. The preliminary budget may or may not be amended by the City Council and is adopted by resolution by the City Council on or before June 30.

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## June 30, 2014

### NOTE A – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

All budget amounts presented in the accompanying financial statements have been adjusted for legally authorized revisions of the annual budgets during the year. Appropriations, except open project appropriations, and unexpended grant appropriations, lapse at the end of each fiscal year. Amounts shown in the financial statements represent the original budgeted amounts and all supplemental appropriations. The supplemental appropriations were immaterial. The budgetary data is prepared on the modified accrual basis consistent with the related "actual" amounts. The City does not use encumbrance accounting.

<u>Excess Expenditures Over Appropriations</u>: The following funds had excess expenditures over appropriations:

Fund		propriations	Total xpenditures d Transfers Out	Excess Expenditures	
General Fund	\$	1,234,857	\$ 1,484,568	\$ 249,711	
Nonmajor Governmental Funds:					
Supplemetal Law Enforcement Fund		100,025	110,625	10,600	
Transportation Fund		91,351	147,472	56,121	
Mitigation Fund			4,336	4,336	
Oil Grant Fund		5,340	5,582	242	

Deficit Fund Equity: The City has no funds with fund deficits at June 30, 2014.

<u>New Pronouncements</u>: In June 2012, the GASB issued Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions*. This Statement requires governments providing defined benefit pension plans to recognize their long-term obligation for pension benefits as a liability on the statement of net position and to more comprehensively and comparably measure the annual costs of pension benefits. This Statement will require the City to record a liability and expense equal to their proportionate share of the collective net pension liability and expense for the PERS plan. This Statement requires the use of the entry age normal method to be used with each period's service cost determined as a level percentage of pay and requires certain other changes to compute the pension liability and expense. This Statement also requires revised and new note disclosures and required supplementary information (RSI) to be reported by employers. The provisions of this Statement are effective for the City's June 30, 2015 financial statements. The City is currently evaluating the effect of this new pronouncement.

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2014

### NOTE B – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The City follows the practice of pooling cash and investments of all funds. Cash represents cash on hand, demand deposits in the bank and amounts invested in the State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). Cash and investments at June 30, 2014 are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

	Governmental Activities	Business-Type Activities	Total
Cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash	\$ 1,704,861	\$ 782,000 476,026	\$ 2,486,861 476,026
	\$ 1,704,861	\$ 1,258,026	\$ 2,962,887

As of June 30, 2014, the City's cash and investments consisted of the following:

Cash on hand Deposits in financial institutions		\$ 300 488,617
Investments California Local Agency Investment Fund		 2,473,970
	Total cash and investments	\$ 2,962,887

<u>Investment policy</u>: California statutes authorize cities to invest idle or surplus funds in a variety of credit instruments as provided for in the California Government Code, Section 53600, Chapter 4 - Financial Affairs. The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for the City by the California Government Code (or the City's investment policy, where more restrictive) that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.

	Maximum Maturity	Maximum Percentage Of Portfolio	Maximum Investment In One Issuer
U.S. Treasury obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency securities	5 years	None	None
Local agency bonds	None	None	10%
Mortgage-back securities	None	20%	None
Bankers acceptances	180 days	40%	30%
High grade commercial paper	270 days	40%	10%
Negotiable certificates of deposit	None	None	None
LAIF	N/A	None	None
Medium term corporate notes	5 years	30%	5%
Repurchase Agreements	365 days	20%	None
Money market fund	None	None	None

The City complied with the provisions of California Government Code pertaining to the types of investments held, institutions in which deposits were made and security requirements. The City will continue to monitor compliance with applicable statutes pertaining to public deposits and investments.

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### June 30, 2014

### NOTE B – CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

<u>Interest Rate Risk</u>: Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The City's investment in LAIF has an average maturity of 232 days.

<u>Credit Risk</u>: Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issue of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The City's only investment is in LAIF, which is not rated.

Custodial Credit Risk: Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The California Government Code and the City's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits, other than the following provision for deposits: The California Governmental Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure public agency deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the secured public deposits. At June 30, 2014, the carrying amount of the City's deposits was \$488,617 and the balance in financial institutions was \$502,290. Of the balance in financial institutions, \$250,000 was covered by federal depository insurance, \$252,290 was collateralized as required by State law (Government Code Section 53630), by the pledging financial institution with assets held in a common pool for the City and other governmental agencies, but not in the name of the City.

<u>Investment in LAIF</u>: LAIF is stated at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. The LAIF is a special fund of the California State Treasury through which local governments may pool investments. The total fair value amount invested by all public agencies in LAIF is \$64,870,214,443 managed by the State Treasurer. Of that amount, 1.86% is invested in structured notes and asset-backed securities. The Local Investment Advisory Board (Board) has oversight responsibility for LAIF. The Board consists of five members as designated by State Statute. The fair value of the City's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the City's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis.

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## June 30, 2014

## NOTE C – INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

Transfers during the year ended June 30, 2014 were as follows:

Transfers in						
			Nonmajor			
		Governmental				
	Capital	Capital Supplemental Transportation				
	Projects	Law		and Road		
Transfers out	Fund	Enforcement	CDBG	Fund	Total	
General Fund Nonmajor Governmental Funds:		\$ 10,625			\$ 10,625	
Gas Tax				\$ 47,799	47,799	
Mitigation Funds	\$ 1,484		\$ 4,543		6,027	
	\$ 1,484	\$ 10,625	\$ 4,543	\$ 47,799	\$ 64,451	

Transfers are used to move revenues from the fund that statute or budget requires to collect them to the fund that statute or budget requires to expend them.

## NOTE D – CAPITAL ASSETS

Governmental capital assets activity for the year ended June 30, 2014 was as follows:

	(Restated) Balance at June 30, 2013	Additions	Retirements	Transfers	Balance at June 30, 2014
Capital assets, not being depreciated:					
Land	\$ 758,329				\$ 758,329
Construction in progress	45,737	\$ 75,337			121,074
Total capital assets,					
not being depreciated	804,066	75,337	-		879,403
Capital assets, being depreciated:					
Buildings and improvements	4,290,749				4,290,749
Vehicles	444,259		\$ (24,734)		419,525
Machinery and equipment	471,398		(89,100)		382,298
Furniture and fixtures	6,764				6,764
Total capital assets,	,				
being depreciated	5,213,170		(113,834)		5,099,336
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Buildings and improvements	(822,714)	(118,342)			(941,056)
Vehicles	(385,541)	(28,874)	24,734		(389,681)
Machinery and equipment	(440,816)	(19,091)	88,862		(371,045)
Furniture and fixtures	(6,654)	(110)			(6,764)
Total accumulated depreciation	(1,655,725)	(166,417)	113,596	-	(1,708,546)
Capital assets being					
depreciated, net	3,557,445	(166,417)	(238)		3,390,790
GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES					
CAPITAL ASSETS, NET	\$ 4,361,511	\$ (91,080)	\$ (238)	\$ -	\$ 4,270,193

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# June 30, 2014

# NOTE D – CAPITAL ASSETS (Continued)

Depreciation expense for governmental capital assets was charged to functions as follows:

General governmental	\$ 14,815
Public safety	38,075
Public works	29,669
Culture and recreation	13,884
Community development	 69,974
Total governmental activities depreciation expense	\$ 166,417

Business-type capital assets activities for the year ended June 30, 2014 was as follows:

	Balance at June 30, 2013	Additions	Retirements	Transfers	Balance at June 30, 2014
Capital assets,					
not being depreciated:					
Land	\$ 134,700				\$ 134,700
Construction in progress	6,401,065	\$ 62,111		\$(6,463,176)	
Total capital assets,					
not being depreciated	6,535,765	62,111	-	(6,463,176)	134,700
Capital assets, being depreciated:					
Buildings and improvements	17,879,419			6,463,176	24,342,595
Vehicles	3,400				3,400
Machinery and equipment	466,753	42,624			509,377
Total capital assets,					
being depreciated	18,349,572	42,624	-	6,463,176	24,855,372
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Buildings and improvements	(3,585,938)	(509,882)			(4,095,820)
Vehicles	(3,400)	(0 0) ,0 0_)			(3,400)
Machinery and equipment	(297,687)	(39,901)			(337,588)
Total accumulated depreciation	(3,887,025)	(549,783)			(4,436,808)
Capital assets being		. , ,			
depreciated, net	14,462,547	(507,159)	-	6,463,176	20,418,564
<b>BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES</b>	, , ,				, ,
CAPITAL ASSETS, NET	\$ 20,998,312	\$ (445,048)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,553,264

Depreciation expense for business-type capital assets was charged to functions as follows:

Sewer	\$ 549,783
Total business-type activities depreciation expense	\$ 549,783

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## June 30, 2014

### NOTE E - LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Long-term liability activity for the year ended June 30, 2014 was as follows:

	Ju	Balance ne 30, 2013 Additions		Payments		Balance June 30, 2014			ue Within Dne Year	
Governmental Activities:										
Note payable	\$	447,343			\$	(194,948)	\$	252,395		
Legal Settlement 2011		75,000				(75,000)				
Total notes and										
settlement payable		522,343				(269,948)		252,395		
Postclosure landfill costs		556,104				(4,472)		551,632		
Compensated absences		18,118	\$	5,086				23,204	\$	11,602
Governmental activities										
long-term liabilities	\$	1,096,565	\$	5,086	\$	(274,420)	\$	827,231	\$	11,602
Business-Type Activities:										
General obligation bonds	\$	31,000			\$	(5,000)	\$	26,000	\$	6,000
Placer County loan	Ψ	1,000,000				(1,000,000)	Ψ	20,000	Ψ	0,000
State loan - restructured		8,688,179	\$	982,088		(1,000,000)		9,670,267		344,976
Legal settlement 2010		108,256	Ψ	<i>J02</i> ,000		(108,256)		9,070,207		511,570
Legal settlement 2009		118,769				(7,451)		111,318		11,132
Total bonds, loan		110,709				(7,131)		111,510		11,152
settlements payable		9,946,204		982,088		(1,120,707)		9,807,585		362,108
Compensated absences		10,393		700		(1,120,707)		11,093		5,547
Business-type activities		10,575		,00				11,075		5,517
long-term liabilities	\$	9,956,597	\$	982,788	\$ (	(1,120,707)	\$	9,818,678	\$	367,655

Long-term debt of the City's governmental activities consists of the following as of June 30, 2014:

On December 8, 2010, the City entered into an agreement with the Gard Family Living Trust to purchase the Winner Chevrolet auto dealership building. The agreement provides that the City lease the property to the seller for one dollar per year for a term of 50 years, and that the seller upgrade the existing property. The City and seller believe that the upgrades to the auto dealership will provide additional sales tax for the City. The City will pay 50% of the increase in sales tax over the base year sales amount in semi-annual payments over a period of 10 years with two 5-year extensions, or until paid in full, whichever is earlier.

\$ 252,395

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### June 30, 2014

### NOTE E - LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

<u>Post closure landfill cost</u>: State and Federal laws and regulations require the City to perform certain maintenance and monitoring functions on its landfill for 30 years after closure. The City has recorded a liability for landfill closure in the General Fund in accordance with GASB 18, *Accounting for Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Closure and Postclosure Costs*. The City hired a private consultant to perform an analysis to determine estimated total cost of the landfill closure, postclosure care costs, total capacity and remaining life. The City's landfill closure liability, based on landfill capacity used to date, is recorded based on the information provided by the consultant's analysis. The consultant's analysis is an estimate only and is subject to change due to inflation or deflation, technology, or applicable laws and regulations. The City is currently estimating that 100% of the landfill is used and there is no remaining life. As of June 30, 2014, the remaining closure and postclosure maintenance costs to be recognized over the next 24 years is \$551,632.

Long-term debt of the City's business-type activities consists of the following as of June 30, 2014:

On June 1, 1978, the City issued 100 \$1,000 USDA Rural Development general obligation bonds. The interest rate applicable on these bonds is 5%. The final maturity of these bonds is June 1, 2018. \$26,000 On September 19, 2011, the City entered into a loan agreement with the State for \$12,825,600 at an interest rate of 1%, the City received proceeds of \$7,761,000, which includes the \$36,000 to refund the City's 1978 USDA Sewer Revenue Bond

and \$7,725,000 to restructure the original loan. The State forgave \$3,319,000 of the loan principal during 2013. Additionally, the City received loan disbursements of \$982,088 during the year. Terms of the loan call for the repayment period to be deferred until one year after completion of the Pond Lining project, which was in October 2013. Commencing on October 1, 2014, annual principal and interest payments of \$438,974 will be due. The note matures in 2038.

During 2009, the City settled a legal claim for \$450,000 related to the operations of its wastewater treatment plant. The City's insurance provider, SCORE, paid the entire \$450,000; however, the City is responsible for reimbursing \$226,601 to SCORE.

9,670,267

111,318

\$ 9,807,585

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# June 30, 2014

# NOTE E – LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

Principal payments on debt are due as follows:

June 30	General Obligation Bonds		Obligation Loan -		Se	Legal ettlement 2009	Total		
2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020-2024 2025-2029 2030-2034 2035-2039	\$	6,000 6,000 7,000 7,000		344,976 345,721 349,178 352,670 356,197 1,835,130 1,928,740 2,027,125 2,130,530	\$	11,132 16,698 22,264 27,829 33,395	\$	362,108 368,419 378,442 387,499 389,592 1,835,130 1,928,740 2,027,125 2,130,530	
Totals	\$	26,000		9,670,267	\$	111,318	\$	9,807,585	

Interest payments on debt are due as follows:

June 30	General Obligation Bonds		Re	State Loan - structured	Total		
2015	\$	1,300	\$	93,998	\$	95,298	
2016		1,000		93,253		94,253	
2017		700		89,796		90,496	
2018		350		86,304		86,654	
2019				82,777		82,777	
2020-2024				359,739		359,739	
2025-2029				366,129		366,129	
2030-2034				167,744		167,744	
2035-2039				64,340		64,340	
Totals	\$	3,350	\$	1,404,080	\$ 1	,407,430	

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# June 30, 2014

# NOTE F - NET POSITION/FUND BALANCE

The following are the purposes for which net positions are restricted:

	Governmental Activities	Business-Type Activities
Street projects	\$ 50,663	
Landfill	250,078	
Economic development	261,648	
Recycling	31,324	
Fire Department	27,174	
Community projects	5,234	
Debt service reserve		\$ 438,974
Replacement of short-lived assets		37,052
Mitigation projects	166,742	
	\$ 792,863	\$ 476,026

The following are the components of the Governmental Funds fund balances:

	Capital General Projects		·	Gov	onmajor /ernmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds		
Fund balances:								
Nonspendable:								
Long-term receivables				\$	51,665	\$	51,665	
Prepaid expenses							-	
Total Nonspendable			-		51,665		51,665	
Committed for:								
Highway 80 Revitalization	\$ 131,812						131,812	
Total committed	131,812		-		-		131,812	
Restricted for:								
Street projects		\$	182,867		43,209		226,076	
Landfill	801,710						801,710	
Economic redevelopment					209,983		209,983	
Recycling					31,324		31,324	
Fire Department					27,174		27,174	
Community projects					5,234		5,234	
Caboose restoration							-	
Mitigation projects					166,742		166,742	
Total Restricted	801,710		182,867		483,666		1,468,243	
Unassigned	154,468						154,468	
Total Unassigned	154,468		-		-		154,468	
Total fund balances	\$ 1,087,990	\$	182,867	\$	535,331	\$	1,806,188	

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## June 30, 2014

### NOTE G – DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

<u>Plan Description</u>: Effective April 2008, the City began contributing to the California Public Employees Retirement System (PERS), a cost-sharing multiple-employer public employee defined benefit plan. PERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. PERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within the State of California. All permanent and part-time employees working at least 1,000 hours per year are enrolled in PERS. Under PERS, benefits vest after five years of service. Upon retirement, participants are entitled to an annual retirement benefit, payable for life, in an amount equal to a benefit factor multiplied by their highest average monthly salary over 12 consecutive months of employment. Benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by State statute. The establishment and amendment of specific benefit provisions of the Plan is authorized by resolution of the City Council. PERS requires plans with less than 100 active participants to participate in risk pools. The City participated in the Miscellaneous 2% at 60 Risk Pool. Copies of the PERS annual financial report may be obtained from their Executive Office at 400 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

<u>Contributions</u>: Participants in the Plan are required to contribute 5% of their annual covered salary for union members and 7% for non-union members. The City is required to contribute to PERS at an actuarially determined rate. The rate for the year ended June 30, 2014 was 8.435% of the annual covered payroll. The contribution requirement of plan members and the City are established and may be amended by PERS. The City's contributions for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were \$37,690, \$33,846, and \$26,181, respectively, which was equal to the required contributions.

### NOTE H – INSURANCE

The City is a member of the Small Cities Organized Risk Effort (SCORE) with other northern California cities. SCORE is a joint powers authority organized in accordance with Article 1, Chapter 5, Division 7, Title I of the California Government Fund Programs. The purpose is to create a common pool of funds to be used to meet obligations of the parties to provide workers' compensation benefits for their employees and to provide liability insurance. SCORE provides claims processing administrative services, risk management services and actuarial studies. A member from each city governs SCORE. The City of Colfax council members do not have significant oversight responsibility, since they evenly share all factors of responsibility with the other cities. The City does not retain the risk of loss. However, ultimate liability for payment of claims and insurance premiums resides with member cities. SCORE is empowered to make supplemental assessments as needed to eliminate deficit positions of member cities. If SCORE becomes insolvent, the City is responsible only to the extent of any deficiency in its equity balance. SCORE establishes claims liabilities based on estimates of the ultimate cost of claims (including future claims settlement expenses) that have been reported but not settled, plus estimates of claims that have been incurred but not reported. Because actual claims costs depend on various factors, the claims liabilities are recomputed periodically using a variety of actuarial and statistical techniques to produce current estimates that reflect recent settlements, claim frequency, and other economic and social factors. A provision for inflation is implicit in the calculation of estimated future claims costs. Adjustments to claims liabilities are charged or credited to expense in the periods in which they are made.

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## June 30, 2014

### NOTE H – INSURANCE (Continued)

The City's insurance coverage and the respective coverage providers are as follows:

	Amount		Coverage provider	Payment Source
LIA	BILITY CLAIMS:			•
	- \$	25,000	Self-insured	Banking layer
\$	25,001 - \$	500,000	Small Cities Organized Risk Effort	Shared risk pool
\$	500,001 - \$	39,500,000	California Joint Powers Risk Management Authority	Shared risk pool
WO.	RKERS' COMPE	NSATION:		
	- \$	50,000	Self-insured	Banking layer
\$	50,001 - \$	250,000	Small Cities Organized Risk Effort	Shared risk pool
\$	250,001 - \$	4,750,000	Local Agency Workers' Compensation Excess Joint Powers Authority	Shared risk pool
\$	4,750,001 - Sta	atutory Limit	California State Association of Counties Excess Workers' Compensation	Shared risk pool

The City also carries commercial insurance for additional liability and property insurance coverage. There have been no significant reductions in insurance coverage from coverage in the prior fiscal year. Also, settlements have not exceeded the insurance coverage for the past three fiscal years. The audited financial statements of SCORE are available at SCORE's office.

### NOTE I – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The City participates in various federal and state assisted grant programs. These programs are subject to program compliance audits by the grantors. The audits by the grantors for the year ended June 30, 2014, have not yet been conducted. The amount, if any, of expenditures which may be disallowed by the granting agencies cannot be determined at this time although the City expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial.

Since October 25, 2007, the Central Valley Water Board adopted and rescinded a number of cease and desist orders that prescribe waste discharge requirements and time schedules for the City at its Wastewater Treatment Plant. On October 13, 2014, the Central Valley Water Board adopted Order R5-2014-0118, which rescinds Cease and Desist Order R5-2011-0097 issued on December 8, 2011. Waste Discharge Requirements Order R5-2013-0045 issued on May 30, 2013, and Cease and Desist Order R5-2013-0046 issued on May 30, 2013 are still in effect.

Cease and Desist Order R5-2013-0046 and Water Discharge Requirements Order R5-2013-0045 set final effluent limitations for arsenic, which must be complied with by May 30, 2016. Failure to comply with this Order or with the Waste Discharge Requirements may result in the assessment of Administrative Civil Liability of up to \$10,000 per violation, per day, depending on the violation, pursuant to the California Water Code, including sections 13268, 13350, and 13385. The Central Valley Water Board reserves its right to take enforcement actions authorized by law.

# Audited Financial Statements BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2014

### NOTE I – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

The City is party to various claims, legal actions and complaints that arise in the normal operation of business. Management and the City's legal counsel believe that there are no material loss contingencies that would have a material adverse impact on the financial position of the City.

### NOTE J - RESTATEMENT

During fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the City recorded donated land it received in previous years at its estimated fair value. As a result, the effect on the beginning net position for government-wide financial statements is as follows:

	Governmental
Net position, beginning of year, as previously recorded Restatement	\$ 4,673,836 198,369
Net position, beginning of year, as restated	\$ 4,872,205

**Audited Financial Statements** 

# COMBINING STATEMENTS AND INDIVIDUAL FUND STATEMENTS

### CITY OF COLFAX

# Audited Financial Statements COMBINING BALANCE SHEET NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

## June 30, 2014

	Special Revenue									
			Supp	olemental				nsportation		
				Law			č	& Road	Gas	
	H	Bricks	Enfo	orcement		CDBG		Fund		Tax
ASSETS:					<b>.</b>		<b>.</b>		*	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	5,231			\$	209,874	\$	18,545	\$	38,009
Due from other			¢	5 50 4						<b>7</b> 1 4 5
governmental agencies		2	\$	5,524		100		(10)		7,145
Interest receivable		3				109		(12)		35
Notes receivable						51,665				
Total assets	\$	5,234	\$	5,524	\$	261,648	\$	18,533	\$	45,189
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE:										
Liabilities:										
Accounts payable							\$	14,962	\$	1,980
Accrued expenses								3,571		
Due to other funds			\$	5,524						
Total liabilities			·	5,524		-		18,533		1,980
Fund balance:										
Nonspendable					\$	51,665				
Restricted	\$	5,234				209,983				43,209
Total fund balance		5,234				261,648				43,209
Total liabilities and fund										
balance	\$	5,234	\$	5,524	\$	261,648	\$	18,533	\$	45,189

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

# **Audited Financial Statements**

Special Revenue								Total			
M	itigation Funds		everage ecycling		Oil Grant	Fire Capital		Nonmajor Governmental Funds			
\$	166,652	\$	27,966	\$	4,217	\$	27,160	\$	497,654		
	90		16		1		14		12,669 256 51,665		
\$	166,742	\$	27,982	\$	4,218	\$	27,174	\$	562,244		
		\$	8	\$	553 315			\$	17,495 3,894 5,524		
	-		8		868				26,913		
\$	166,742 166,742		27,974 27,974		3,350 3,350	\$	27,174 27,174		51,665 483,666 535,331		
\$	166,742	\$	27,982	\$	4,218	\$	27,174	\$	562,244		

## CITY OF COLFAX

# Audited Financial Statements Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Nonmajor Governmental Funds

### For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

	Special Revenue									
				lemental			Transportation			
		D 1 1		Law		CDDC	& Road			Gas
DEVENIJES.		Bricks	Enfo	orcement		CDBG		Fund		Tax
REVENUES: Tax revenues Licenses, fees and permits Intergovernmental revenues			\$	100,000			\$	918 98,843	\$	68,398
Use of money and property Other revenue	\$	12			\$	2,021		(88)		129
Total revenues		12		100,000		2,021		99,673		68,527
EXPENDITURES: General government Public safety Public works Community development Capital outlay				110,625		8,043		147,472		21,355
Total expenditures				110,625		8,043		147,472		21,355
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures		12		(10,625)		(6,022)		(47,799)		47,172
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): Transfers in Transfers out				10,625		4,543		47,799		(47,799)
Total other financing sources (uses)				10,625		4,543		47,799		(47,799)
Net change in fund balance Fund balances -		12				(1,479)				(627)
beginning of year		5,222				263,127				43,836
Fund balances - end of year	\$	5,234	\$	-	\$	261,648	\$		\$	43,209

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

# **Audited Financial Statements**

		Special Reven	ue		Total		
М	litigation Funds	Beverage Recycling	Oil Grant	Fire Capital	Nonmajor Governmental Funds		
\$	390	\$ 64	\$ 5,00	10 \$59	\$ 68,398 918 203,843 2,587		
	390	64	5,00	0 59	275,746		
	4,336	999	5,58	2	4,336 110,625 168,827 14,624		
	4,336	999	5,58	2	298,412		
	(3,946)	(935)		59	(22,666)		
	(6,027)				62,967 (53,826)		
	(6,027)				9,141		
	(9,973)	(935)	(58	59 59	(13,525)		
	176,715	28,909	3,93	27,115	548,856		
\$	166,742	\$ 27,974	\$ 3,35	<u> </u>	\$ 535,331		

**Audited Financial Statements** 

# Richardson & Company, LLP

550 Howe Avenue, Suite 210 Sacramento, California 95825 Telephone: (916) 564-8727 FAX: (916) 564-8728

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

City Council City of Colfax, California Colfax, California

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Colfax, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 6, 2015.

## Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### To the City Council City of Colfax, California Audited Financial Statements

### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Richardson & Company, LLP

January 6, 2015



# **Required Communications Letter**

# Richardson & Company, LLP

550 Howe Avenue, Suite 210 Sacramento, California 95825

Telephone: (916) 564-8727 FAX: (916) 564-8728

January 6, 2015

To the City Council City of Colfax Colfax, California

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Colfax, California (the City), for the year ended June 30, 2014, and have issued our report thereon dated January 6, 2015. Professional standards require that we provide you with information about our responsibilities under generally accepted auditing standards (and, if applicable, *Government Auditing Standards*), as well as certain information related to the planned scope and timing of our audit. We have communicated such information in our letter to you dated June 3, 2014. Professional standards also require that we communicate to you the following information related to our audit.

# Our Responsibility under U.S. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards and Government Auditing Standards

As stated in our engagement letter dated June 3, 2014, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to express opinions about whether the financial statements prepared by management with your oversight are fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Our audit of the financial statements does not relieve you or management of your responsibilities.

As part of our audit, we will consider the internal control of the City. Such considerations are solely for the purpose of determining our audit procedures and not to provide any assurance concerning such internal control.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, we will also perform tests of the City's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions is not an objective of our audit.

Generally accepted accounting principles provide for certain required supplementary information (RSI) to supplement the basic financial statements. Our responsibility with respect to management's discussion and analysis, which supplements the basic financial statements, is to apply certain limited procedures in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. However, the RSI will not be audited and, because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance, we will not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the RSI.

## To the City Council Page 2 Required Communications Letter

### Planned Scope and Timing of the Audit

An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements; therefore, our audit involves judgment about the number of transactions to be examined and the areas to be tested.

Our audit will include obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment, including internal control, sufficient to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements and to design the nature, timing, and extent of further audit procedures. Material misstatements may result from (1) errors, (2) fraudulent financial reporting, (3) misappropriation of assets, or (4) violations of laws or governmental regulations that are attributable to the entity or to acts by management or employees acting on behalf of the entity. We noted no material weaknesses in internal controls as a result of our audit.

### **Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices**

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by the City are described in Note A to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies were not changed during the year ended June 30, 2014.

We noted no transaction entered into by the City during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the financial statements in the proper period.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statement prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimates affecting the City's financial statements were determining the depreciable lives and methods used for capital assets, allowance for doubtful accounts and the valuation of donated capital assets. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the estimates in determining that they are reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

The financial statement disclosures are neutral, consistent, and clear.

## Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

## Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are clearly trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. The attached schedule summarizes uncorrected misstatements of the financial statements. Management has determined that their effect is immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate to the financial statements taken as a whole. In addition, no adjustments were noted as a result of our audit other than reclassification adjustments for financial statement presentation only.

## To the City Council Page 3 Required Communications Letter

### Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, a disagreement with management is a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

### Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated January 6, 2015.

### Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the City's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

### Issues Discussed Prior to Retention of Independent Auditors

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the City's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

This information is intended solely for the use of the City Council and management of the City and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Richardson & Company, LLP

January 6, 2015



# **Management Letter**

# Richardson & Company, LLP

550 Howe Avenue, Suite 210 Sacramento, California 95825

Telephone: (916) 564-8727 FAX: (916) 564-8728

City Council City of Colfax Colfax, California

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the governmental activities, businesstype activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Colfax (the City) for the year ended June 30, 2014, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and, therefore, there can be no assurance that all such deficiencies have been identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

During our audit, we became aware of the following matters that warrant your attention.

### Risk Assessment Plan

We noted that the City does not have a formal risk assessment plan to identify those risks within the City that could result in fraud or material misstatement of the financial statements, and then to implement internal controls to mitigate those identified risks. We recommend the City develop a risk assessment plan to identify potential risk areas and then ensure controls or processes are in place to mitigate those risks. This risk assessment should be performed on a periodic basis. In addition, a Committee of the City Council, serving as the Audit Committee, should be involved in this risk assessment process as well.

All other prior year comments have been adequately addressed.

### New Pronouncements

In June 2012, the GASB approved Statement No. 68, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions." This Statement requires governments providing defined benefit pension plans to recognize their long-term obligation for pension benefits as liability on the statement of net position and to more comprehensively and comparably measure the annual costs of pension benefits. Historically, GASB's pension standards



viewed the obligation to provide benefits as belonging to the plan, rather than to the employers. As a result, employers disclosed the amount of unfunded pension liability in notes to their financial statements instead of recognizing a liability on the face of the statement of net position. As the City participates in a multi-employer cost-sharing plan, the City will be required to report a liability equivalent to their proportionate share of the net pension liability of the plan as well as the related pension expense and any deferred inflows or deferred outflow of resources. Historically, the City has only included their required contributions as an expense. Based on the June 30, 2012 actuarial valuation, the City's share of the unfunded liability is approximately \$45,000. The provisions of this Statement are effective beginning with the June 15, 2015 financial statements.

We would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge the courtesy and assistance extended to us during the course of the audit. This report is intended solely for the information and use of the City Council, management, and others within the organization and does not affect our report dated January 6, 2015 on the financial statements of the City.

Richardson & Company, LLP

January 6, 2015

# **Appropriations Limit Testing Report**

# Richardson & Company, LLP

550 Howe Avenue, Suite 210 Sacramento, California 95825

Telephone: (916) 564-8727 FAX: (916) 564-8728

### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES APPLIED TO APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT TESTING

City Council City of Colfax Colfax, California

We have performed the procedures enumerated below to the accompanying calculation of the Appropriation Limit of the City of Colfax for the year ended June 30, 2014. These procedures, which were agreed to by the City of Colfax and the League of California Cities (as presented in the publication entitled Article XIII-B *Agreed-upon Procedures Applied to the Appropriations Limitation Prescribed By of the California Constitution*) were performed solely to assist the City of Colfax in meeting the requirements of Section 1.5 of Article XIII-B of the California Constitution. The City of Colfax management is responsible for the appropriations limit calculation. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures performed and our findings were as follows:

1. We obtained the City's calculation of the 2013/2014 appropriations limit and compared the limit and annual adjustment factors included in the calculation to the limit and annual adjustment factors that were adopted by resolution of the City Council.

Finding: No exceptions were noted as a result of our procedures.

2. We compared the methodology used to determine the cost of living adjustment component to Article XIIIB which states that the City may annually adjust the component for either the change in California per capita personal income or, the percentage change in the City's assessed valuation which is attributable to non-residential new construction. We recalculated the factor based on the above information.

Finding: No exceptions were noted as a result of our procedures.

3. We compared the methodology used to determine the population adjustment component to Article XIIIB which states that the City may annually choose to adjust the component for either the change in population in the County in which the City is located, or the change in population within the unincorporated area of the County in which the City is located. We recalculated the factor based on the above information.

Finding: No exceptions were noted as a result of our procedures.

## City Council Appropriations Limit Testing Report Page 2

4. We compared the prior year appropriations limit presented in the accompanying Appropriations Limit Calculation to the prior year appropriations limit adopted by the City Council for the prior year.

Finding: No exceptions were noted as a result of our procedures.

5. We recalculated the 2013/2014 Appropriation Limit by multiplying the product of the two above factors by the 2012/2013 appropriation limit.

Finding: No exceptions were noted as a result of our procedures.

6. We compared the City's actual revenues to the computed appropriation limit for fiscal year 2013/2014.

Finding: For the 2013/2014 fiscal year, the City's actual revenues subject to the appropriations limit did not exceed the appropriation limit adopted by resolution of the City Council.

We were not engaged to, and did not, perform an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the accompanying Appropriations Limit calculation. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you. No procedures have been performed with respect to the determination of the appropriation limit for the base year, as defined by Article XIII-B of the California Constitution.

This report is intended solely for the use of the City of Colfax and should not be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures and taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Richardson & Company, LLP

January 6, 2015

## **APPENDIX A**

# Appropriations Limit Testing Report APPROPRIATION LIMIT CALCULATION Year Ended June 30, 2014

# **APPROPRIATION LIMIT ADOPTED BY CITY:**

Recorded in Final 2012/2013 Budget		\$ 1,848,010
APPROPRIATION LIMIT COMPUTATION PER REVIEW:		
2012/2013 Appropriation Limit	\$ 1,770,954	
Cost of living factor: Change in California per capita income	1.0377	
Population Adjustment Factor: Population change in City of Colfax	1.0056	
Auditor computed limitation		1,848,010
Variance		\$ (0)

City of Colfax City Council Minutes Regular Meeting of Wednesday, January 14, 2015 City Hall Council Chambers 33 S. Main Street, Colfax CA

# 1 <u>CALL REGULAR MEETING TO ORDER</u>

The Regular Council meeting was called to order at 7:00 PM by Mayor Douglass stating, "The 53<sup>rd</sup> Council of the City of Colfax is now open".

1A. The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Bruce Kranz, former City Manager.

# 1B. Roll Call:

Councilmembers present: Delfino, Douglass, Harvey, Hesch, and Parnham

# 1C. Approval of Agenda:

On a motion by Councilmember Delfino, seconded by Councilmember Harvey, the City Council approved the agenda.

AYES:Delfino, Douglass, Harvey, Hesch, ParnhamNOES:None

# 2 PRESENTATIONS

# 2A. Sierra Vista Community Center - Yearly Report

Connie Heilaman, President of the Sierra Vista Community Center (SVCC) Board introduced Orion Culver, Chairperson of the Bianchini Trust and member of the SVCC Investment Committee. Mr. Culver discussed the highlights of the SVCC Board and Trustee Report for the period ending December 31, 2014. Mr. Culver noted that the SVCC investment assets have increased in the last 5 years due largely to the improved economy. The Board investment strategy is designed for long-term maintenance of the funds with low cost dividend investments. In March 2014, the Board used \$16,000 of the principal for insurance and boiler repair. Councilmember Hesch commented that the Bianchini Board appears to be going in a reasonable and prudent direction.

# 3 <u>CONSENT CALENDAR</u>

# 3A. Minutes City Council Meeting of December 10, 2014

**Recommendation:** Approve the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of December 10, 2014.

3B. Cash Summary Report November 30, 2014. RECOMMENDATION: Receive and File

On a motion by Councilmember Hesch, seconded by Councilmember Delfino, the City Council approved the consent agenda.

AYES:Delfino, Douglass, Harvey, Hesch, and ParnhamNOES:None

# 4 <u>COUNCIL, STAFF AND OTHER REPORTS</u>

# 4A. **Committee Reports and Informational Items – All Council Members** Councilmember Hesch

- Attended the Colfax Lioness Dinner and gave a briefing on the status of the Caboose. The Lioness' donated \$2000 towards the restoration of the Caboose. Work has been progressing almost daily and the construction phase is almost 70% complete.
- Attended the SVCC Board meeting with Mayor Douglass.
- Requested the formation of an Asphalt Committee consisting of Councilmembers, Contractors and members of the public which would meet to develop a written Asphalt Management Plan.
- Requested that the mid-year budget discussion would include a statement to the public about the formation of funds earmarked for Asphalt improvements and Construction of a City Pool.
- Asked Staff to prepare a report about the feasibility of connecting to the Lincoln sewer pipeline and the potential for funding such an endeavor.
- Requested that staff schedule the installation of the signs which were donated to the City. City Manager Miller stated that sign installation is currently on the Public Works work schedule.
- Asked Staff bring a Construction schedule for the summer to the next Council meeting.

Councilmember Delfino

• Nothing to Report

Councilmember Harvey

• Commented that connecting the Sewer pipeline to Lincoln plant would not be financially feasible without increasing rates and the City's obligation to pay for the current Wastewater Treatment Plant would not be eliminated. Instead, he suggested that the City look into the feasibility of pumping the outflow from the Wastewater Treatment Plant for irrigation or other uses within the City using Prop 1B funds for financing. With the current statewide drought funding for recycling water might be available. This would also eliminate the potential of complaints from those downstream.

Mayor Pro Tem Parnham

• Agreed with Councilmember Harvey that water re-use would be worth exploring. He explained that connecting to the Lincoln pipeline would not be practical because the current pipeline that reaches to Applegate was not sized large enough to handle the flow from Colfax.

Mayor Douglass

• Attended the Bianchini Trust Board meeting. By 2/3's vote the Board agreed to release \$100,000 to the SVCC for major renovation provided that the SVCC Board also approves by 2/3's vote. Councilmember Delfino inquired about the steel girders that are outside the SVCC. Ms. Heilaman responded that the girders will be part of a covered patio with an art deco feel. The patio could be used for picnics, weddings and other social events.

• Reported that at the recent Project Go meeting, he was reelected to the Finance Committee

# 4B. **Operations Updates – City Staff**

City Manager Miller

- Reminded Council of the webinar version of the Mayor's and Councilmember's Academy hosted in his office Wednesday and Thursday. Tomorrow's topics include Relationships between Council and Management Staff and Communications and Media. Videos may be available as well.
- City Manager Miller asked Councilmember Delfino to introduce Deleste Magre the new reporter for the Colfax Record. Councilmember Delfino welcomed Ms. Magre mentioning that she is well-educated and will be an asset to our community.
- Announced that Lorraine Cassidy, Interim City Clerk through a contract agency, was the top candidate in City Clerk recruitment and she has accepted the offer of regular employment. Councilmember Hesch presented her with flowers as a welcome from Council.
- Informed Council that Colfax volunteers, Cathy Keifer and Nancy Hageman are submitting a narrative of Colfax to the AAA tour book.
- Ms. Keifer has also secured four additional train-shaped plant holders for our historic streetlights. These will be installed soon.
- The Wastewater Treatment Plant is online and received a "clean bill of health" from the water board during a recent inspection.
- The Economic Development Board will meet on January 15<sup>th</sup>.
- City Hall will be closed Monday January 19 for the Martin Luther King holiday.
- Reminded Council that Colfax will be hosting an AB1234 Ethics Training Class on January 21, 2015 from 9:30-11:30AM. We expect some attendees from our neighboring agencies who were grateful that Colfax arranged the presentation, and it gives us an opportunity to show off Colfax.
- The Chamber Annual meeting will be January 28 and more information will come later from the Chamber President
- Saturday, January 24<sup>th</sup> the Colfax Grad Night Committee will host a Crab Feed at the SVCC.

# 4C. Additional Reports – Agency Partners

Sergeant Ty Conners, Colfax Sub-station Commander

- The Sub-station construction continues to progress. Council can stop by for a tour anytime the workers are there.
- Officers are participating in an Advanced Training for the next several Wednesdays.
- Please let Sergeant Conners know if you need more or less detail on the monthly Sheriff's report.

- Kudos to the officers for their effective idea for dealing with youth smoking marijuana; rather than a court procedure, they required the youth write research papers on the physical impacts that marijuana has on young people. Councilmember Hesch inquired about a marijuana arrest that occurred on the Railroad. Sergeant Conners stated that it was a large seizure of drugs 16 lbs. The perpetrators were travelling eastbound on Amtrak.
- Completed a search and rescue for a 14 year old who returned on his own volition.

Frank Klein, Colfax Chamber of Commerce President

- Met with Penny Usher, the new editor of the Colfax Record. She is genuinely interested in Colfax and wants stories to report about Colfax.
- Announced that the annual general meeting for the Chamber of Commerce will be a luncheon at Dine n Dash at noon on January 28, 2015. Tickets are \$20 dollars. The new Board Members: Elizabeth Gibbons with US Bank, Britni Fitzgerald with Electrical Testing and Control, Nicolette Dalpino with a property management company and Kelly Molloy, Colfax Volunteer will be introduced at the luncheon. Future Goals will be discussed. Only 40 seats are available, so purchase tickets early.

# 5 <u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u>

Connie Heilaman, SVCC President:

- Announced several upcoming events:
  - Cupid's Night Out on February 21<sup>st</sup> \$15 each/\$25 couples, the dance will be a fundraiser for the SVCC.
  - SVCC will host a Chamber Mixer on March 4 from 5:30-7:30
  - Festival De Mayo will be May 2<sup>nd</sup> and include dog races, crafts and food, all with a Spanish Theme.

Greg Walsh, a 19 year resident of Colfax and State of Jefferson Advocate:

• Asked Council to support the State of Jefferson movement with a declaration. He believes a separate state will allow Northern Californians to have lower taxes and more representation.

Lynn Tausch, Colfax business owner:

• Brought to Council's attention that blighted buildings such as the hotel and 44 Gearhart discourage new business from locating in Colfax. She has seen no progress on the demolition of the Gearhart property. She requested that Council "push along" progress on cleaning up the buildings so that it will not hamper economic growth in the City.

Jeannie Claxton, 285 Alpine Way:

- Requested that the budget process include funding for a new pool for the sake of youth and families.
- Asked Council to take seriously the need to have a Warming and Cooling Center and include it in the next budget proposal.
- Commended the idea of recycling water from the WWTP. She would like to hear more.
- Pleased to see Luna's featured live on a recent Good Morning Sacramento episode.

4

- Reiterated her request that the City place a sign directing people to the SVCC.
- Commended City Staff for great public relations and customer service.
- Requested that Council keep up the transparency.

City Manager Miller responded to public comments:

• Gearhart has been posted with a notice of demolition. Demolition must occur by January 27 or the City will act demolish the building. City Attorney Cabral added that if the building is not torn down by the 27<sup>th</sup> the City will go to Court for an order to Tear-Down.

• Notices will be sent soon to the owners of the Hotel and other blighted buildings . Councilmember Delfino responded to public comments:

- The City was not able to obtain funding for the pool in 2011, but he is willing to give the idea another try.
- As a temporary measure, the Kiwanis Club is raising funds for fuel (firewood and propane) to help in the absence of a Warming/Cooling Center.
- Requested that a State of Jefferson Presentation be placed on the Agenda. City Manager Miller responded that this out of the purview of the City but certainly can be accommodated with a presentation outside of Council Meeting hours perhaps before a meeting.

Mayor Douglass responded to public comments:

• Perhaps a Heating/Cooling Center could be funded using the released funds from the Bianchini Trust. City Manager Miller commented that the Heating/Cooling Center would fall under the jurisdiction of the County rather than the City.

# 6 <u>COUNCIL BUSINESS</u>

# 6A. Six Month Service Report for Placer County Sheriff's Department

**STAFF PRESENTATION:** Mark Miller, City Manager and Ty Conners, Colfax Station Commander

# **RECOMMENDATION:** Discuss and Direct Staff

City Manager Miller stated that the City signed a 3 year contract with the Sheriff's department which will expire in June of 2015. The City has an extremely productive relationship with the Sheriff's Department. The contract provides for increases to the base of \$603,432. The increase this year was about \$32,000 and Council voted in July to reduce the hours of services slightly for a 6 month period in order to keep costs essentially the same as the previous fiscal year. Sergeant Conners explained the statistics for the past 6 months and requested that Council consider reinstating the hours of service for the remainder of the year. Council and the public discussed the issue. Council's concerns included looking at the long range effects of continually increasing sheriff's costs and the risk of increased crime due to predictable hours for Sheriff Department service reduction. Councilmember Delfino suggested that reinstating half of the service hours on a rotating basis might be a good compromise, continuing some of the cost savings and eliminating the predictability of hours. Council agreed to reconsider increased hours during the mid-year budget discussion and requested Sergeant Conners provide statistics from July – February.

# 6B. Development Fee Update

**STAFF PRESENTATION:** Mark Miller, City Manager

**RECOMMENDATION:** Discuss and Solicit Public Opinion

City Manager Miller reported that developers have recently protested the development fees charged by the City as higher than market price. Staff is working to fine tune some of the criteria for business impact fee expenses and will bring a revised schedule back to Council. Councilmember Hesch suggested that some of the funds could be earmarked for a new pool. Councilmember Harvey cautioned that changing fee schedule percentages could cause problems with businesses which already have paid the fees. He suggested looking at other alternatives such as changes to when the fees are due (after development rather than before) or tax sharing. City Manager Miller concurred that timing of payment would be a good mechanism to alleviate the burden on developers. Fees need to be spent only on the funds designated as modification of the percentages earmarked for certain projects would require a public hearing.

# 6C. Risk Assessment Plan

**STAFF PRESENTATION:** Mark Miller, City Manager

**RECOMMENDATION:** Accept and implement Risk Assessment Plan; Select two (2) Councilmembers to serve on the Audit Committee.

City Manager Miller stated that the Audit Report included a recommendation for a formalized financial risk assessment through a Risk Assessment Committee. The committee would meet 1-2 times per year and consist of two Councilmembers, the City Manager, and the Finance Director. Councilmember Delfino asked if it would be appropriate to also include a member of the public. Council directed staff to advertise for public participants on the website. Mayor Pro Tem Parnham and Councilmember Harvey agreed to be the Council representatives to the Committee

# 6D. Committee Assignments

# **STAFF PRESENTATION:** Mark Miller, City Manager

**RECOMMENDATION:** Review of Current City Council Committee Assignments; Discuss Potential Changes and Approve Assignments for 2014 – Mayor Douglass and City Council

City Manager Miller expressed his appreciation to Council for the great deal of time the members spend serving the community on various Advisory Boards and Committees. He requested that Council decide tonight on the committee assignments. The committee listing is attached.

On a motion by Councilmember Delfino, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Parnham, the City Council approved the committee assignments for 2015.

AYES: Delfino, Douglass, Harvey, Hesch, Parnham

NOES: None

#### 6E. Non-Smoking Signs in City Parks

#### STAFF PRESENTATION: Mark Miller, City Manager

**RECOMMENDATION:** Discuss and Direct Staff

City Manager Miller explained that the City has received several complaints regarding loitering and smoking in the parks – particularly at the Gazebo. Staff is recommending a no smoking sign placed in the Gazebo area. Council and the public discussed the idea. With the understanding that this is a courtesy notice, not a new ordinance or law, Council directed Staff to post a sign requesting no smoking and one requesting no dogs within the Gazebo structure only.

#### 7 <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

Mayor Douglass adjourned the meeting at 9:12 PM noting that the current Council consists of Former Mayors and Mayor Pro Tem's. This Council can take the City of Colfax to the "next level".

Respectfully submitted to City Council this 28th day of January, 2015

Lorraine Cassidy

nor

City Clerk

7

# 2015 Committee Assignment List (as of 1-14-15)

Committee	Councilmember Community Member	Meeting Date and Time	Mileage Reimbursement	Stipend
Placer County Economic Development Board Meetings at Various Businesses in Placer Co.	Kim Douglass Alternate: Tony Hesch	3:00 pm 3 <sup>rd</sup> Thursday Jan/April/June/Sept	Submit to City	None
Weimar, Applegate, Colfax/Municipal Advisory Council (WAC/MAC) City of Colfax, Council Chambers	Ken Delfino Alternate: Tony Hesch	6:00 pm 3 <sup>rd</sup> Wednesday of Month	Submit to City	None
Sierra Economic Development Corporation (SEDCorp) 560 Wall Street, Suite F, Auburn, CA 95603	Ken Delfino Alternate: Tony Hesch	1:00 pm 1 <sup>st</sup> Wednesday EOM starting February	Submit to Board	None
Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) 1415 L. St. Sacramento, CA 95814	Tony Hesch Alternate: Kim Douglass	9:30 am 3 <sup>rd</sup> Thursday of Month	Submit to Board	\$100
<b>Grants Oversight Committee</b> (approves change orders over \$5,000)	Tom Parnham Kim Douglass	As Needed	Submit to City	
Placer County Air Pollution Control District BOS Chambers 175 Fulweiler Ave. Auburn, CA	Tony Hesch Alternate: Steve Harvey	2:30 pm 2 <sup>nd</sup> Thursday of even #'d months	Submit to Board	\$100
Placer County Mosquito Abatement District 2021 Opportunity Dr. Roseville, CA 95678	Tom Parnham Alternate: Steve Harvey	4:30 pm 3 <sup>rd</sup> Monday of Month	Submit to City	\$100
Placer County Community Services Committee Various meeting locations	Kim Douglass Alternate: Tony Hesch	3:30 pm 4 meetings/year 1 <sup>st</sup> Wednesday	Submit to Committee	\$50
Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) BOS Chambers 175 Fulweiler Ave. Auburn, CA	Tony Hesch Alternate: Steve Harvey	9:00 am 4th Wednesday of Month	Submit to Committee	\$100
Local Agency Formation Commission BOS Chambers 175 Fulweiler Ave. Auburn, CA 95603	Kim Douglass Alternate: Ken Delfino	4:00 pm 2 <sup>nd</sup> Wednesday of Month	Submit to City	\$100

# 2014 Committee Assignment List

Committee	Councilmember Community Member	Meeting Date and Time	Mileage Reimbursement	Stipend
Bianchini Advisory Board/ Sierra Vista Liaison	Kim Douglas Tony Hesch	Unknown	Submit to City	No
Solid Waste Task Force Auburn Veterans Hall 100 East Street Auburn, CA 95603 Meeting Locations may vary	Robin Faires	9:00am 1 <sup>st</sup> Thursday Feb, May, August, Nov	Submit to City	No
Placer Sierra Fire Safe Council City of Colfax Council Chambers	Chris Paulus Alternate: Tom Parnham	6:00pm 3 <sup>rd</sup> Thursday of each month	Submit to City	No
Land Use Committee		As needed	Submit to City	No
Placer County Selection Committee	Mayor	Once Per Year	Submit to City	No
Audit Committee	Steve Harvey Tom Parnham	As needed (2X Per Year Expected)	Submit to City	No



# STAFF REPORT TO THE COLFAX CITY COUNCIL

# FOR THE JANUARY 28, 2015 COUNCIL MEETING

FROM: Mark Miller, City Manager

PREPARED BY: Laurie Van Groningen, Finance Director

**DATE:** January 20, 2015

SUBJECT: City of Colfax Cash Summary Report: December, 2014

X	N/A	FUNDED	UN-FUNDED	AMOUNT:	FROM FUND:

#### **RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Accept and File City of Colfax Cash Summary Report: December 2014.

#### SUMMARY:

Staff recommends that the Council accepts and files the Colfax Cash Summary Report: for December 2014.

#### **BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS:**

These monthly financial reports include General Fund Unassigned Cash Analysis Graphs and the City of Colfax Cash Summary Report (with supporting documentation). The reports are prepared monthly on a cash basis and are reconciled to the General Ledger accounting system, previous reports and bank statements. Detailed budget comparisons are provided as a mid-year report and also as part of the proposed budget process each year.

The purpose of the reports is to provide status of funds and transparency for Council and the public of the financial transactions of the City.

#### CONCLUSION:


The attached reports reflect an overview of the financial transactions of the City of Colfax in December 2014.

Monthly highlights include:


- Sales tax receipts were down due to lower revenues for the quarter ended September 30. The State advances payments for the quarter based on previous year's actuals, and then adjusts when actual receipts are recorded. See quarterly sales tax analysis.
- General fund disbursements were fairly typical quarterly payments for Sheriff Services and Winner property purchase are expected in January.

#### ATTACHMENTS:


- 1. General Fund Unassigned Cash Analysis Graphs
  - a. Cash Analysis Balance
  - b. Expenses by Month
  - c. Revenues by Month
- 2. Cash Activity Reports December 2014
  - a. Cash Summary
  - b. Cash Transaction Report by individual fund
  - c. Check Register Report
  - d. Daily Cash Summary Report
- 3. Retail Sales Tax Reports
  - a. Retail Sales Tax Analysis
  - b. Retail Sales Tax Revenues Chart



\*This is a recommended General Fund Reserve Target of 25% (3 months) of annual General Fund Revenues.



**ITEM 3B** 3 of 12



#### City of Colfax Cash Summary December 31, 2014

	Ba	lance 11/30/14	F	levenues in	E	openses Out		Transfers	Ba	lance 12/31/14
US Bank LAIF LAIF - County Loan	\$ \$ \$	113,236.77 2,393,071.32		317,570.73 -	\$	(218,242.09)	\$	-	\$ \$ \$	212,565.41 2,393,071.32
Total Cash - General Ledger	\$	2,506,308.09	\$	317,570.73	\$	(218,242.09)	\$	-	\$	2,605,636.73
Petty Cash (In Safe)	\$	300.00							\$	300.00
Total Cash	\$	2,506,608.09	\$	317,570.73	\$	(218,242.09)	\$	-	\$	2,605,936.73
	Cha	ange in Cash Ac	cou	nt Balance - To	otal		\$	99,328.64	-	
Attached Reports: 1. Cash Transactions Repor 2. Check Register Report (A 3. Cash Receipts - Daily Ca	Accou ash S Pay Utili LAI	ints Payable)	Tax elpts		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	(166,613.68) 130,063.09 (51,301.18) 187,276.58 - (96.17) <b>99,328.64</b>	\$			
Prepared by		baurie	<u>/a</u>	n anoni Finance Directo	ĵ-	1/5/15	-			

.

Laurie Van Groningen, Finance Director 1/19/14 Mark Miller, City Manager Reviewed by:

# City of Colfax

## **Cash Transactions Report - December 2014**

		Beginning Balance		Debit Revenues	(E)	Credit (penditures)	Ending Balance
Fund Type: 1.11 - General Fund - Unassigned							
Fund: 100 - General Fund	\$	547,786.38	\$	28,222.80	\$	(57,232.86) \$	518,776.32
Fund: 120 - Land Development Fees	\$	27,817.27	\$	-	\$	(142.50) \$	27,674.77
Fund: 570 - Garbage Fund	\$	(292,056.92)	\$	-	\$	- \$	(292,056.92)
Fund Type: 1.11 - General Fund - Unassigned	\$	283,546.73	\$	28,222.80	\$	(57,375.36) \$	254,394.17
	-						
Fund Type: 1.14 - General Fund - Restricted							
Fund: 571 - AB939 Landfill Diversion	\$	30,767.26	\$	-	\$	- \$	30,767.26
Fund: 572 - Landfill Post Closure Maintenance	\$	760,996.91	\$	-	\$	(3,852.71) \$	757,144.20
Fund Type: 1.14 - General Fund - Restricted	\$	791,764.17	\$	•	\$	(3,852.71) \$	787,911.46
Fund Type: 1.24 - Special Rev Funds - Restrict	ted						
Fund: 210 - Mitigation Fees - Roads	\$	3,886.33	\$	-	\$	- \$	3,886.33
Fund: 211 - Mitigation Fees - Drainage	\$	2,984.20	\$	-	\$	- \$	2,984.20
Fund: 212 - Mitigation Fees - Trails	\$	41,412.31	\$	-	\$	- \$	41,412.31
Fund: 213 - Mitigation Fees - Parks/Rec	\$	91,820.68	\$	-	\$	- \$	91,820.68
Fund: 214 - Mitigation Fees - City Bldgs	\$	445.72	\$	-	\$	- \$	445.72
Fund: 215 - Mitigation Fees - Vehicles	\$	230.90	\$	-	\$	- \$	230.90
Fund: 217 - Mitigation Fees - DT Parking	\$	26,059.06	\$	-	\$	- \$	26,059.06
Fund: 218 - Support Law Enforcement	\$	1,279.43	\$	-	\$	- \$	
Fund: 236 - CDBG Revitalization Zone	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	
Fund: 241 - CDBG Housing Rehabiliation	\$	94,279.32	\$	-	\$	- \$	
Fund: 244 - CDBG MicroEnterprise Lending	\$	117,325.52	\$	300.00	\$	- \$	,
Fund: 250 - Streets - Roads/Transportation	\$	(57,685.31)	\$	57,001.50	\$	(14,327.65) \$	· · · /
Fund: 253 - Gas Taxes	\$	46,641.24	\$	12,540.96	\$	(2,160.08) \$	
Fund: 270 - Beverage Container Recycling	\$	32,069.41	\$	-	\$	(228.02) \$	
Fund: 280 - Oil Recycling	\$	2,581.22	\$	-	\$	(167.03) \$	•
Fund: 286 - Bricks	\$	5,236.55	\$	-	\$	- \$	5,236.55
Fund: 292 - Fire Department Capital Funds	\$	30,164.46	\$	30,922.50	\$	- \$	
Fund Type: 1.24 - Special Rev Funds - Restric	\$	438,731.04	\$	100,764.96	\$	(16,882.78) \$	522,613.22
Fund Type: 1.34 - Capital Projects - Restricted							
Fund: 350 - Street Improvement Projects	\$	84,157.53	\$	-	\$	(8,338.75) \$	75,818.78
Fund: 360 - Rule 20A Undergrounding	Ŝ	(5,884.60)		-	\$	(2,775.00) \$	-
Fund Type: 1.34 - Capital Projects - Restricted	I S	78,272.93	\$	-	\$	(11,113.75) \$	
Fund Type: 2.11 - Enterprise Funds - Unassign	ned						
Fund: 560 - Sewer	\$	189,756.29	\$	122,362.80	\$	(112,454.99) \$	199,664.10
Fund: 561 - Sewer Liftstations	\$	338,487.74	\$	18,705.41	\$	(16,562.50) \$	340,630.65
Fund: 563 - Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$	171,913.69	\$	47,006.64	\$	- \$	218,920.33
Fund: 565 - General Obligation Bond 1978	\$	21,030.56	\$	-	\$	- \$	•
Fund: 567 - Inflow & Infiltration	\$	192,804.94	\$	508.12	\$	- \$	
Fund Type: 2.11 - Enterprise Funds - Unassig	\$	913,993.22	\$	188,582.97	\$	(129,017.49) \$	973,558.70
Fund Type: 9.0 - CLEARING ACCOUNT							
Fund: 998 - PAYROLL CLEARING FUND	\$	-	\$		\$	- \$	
Fund Type: 9.0 - CLEARING ACCOUNT	\$	•	\$	-	\$	- \$	-
Grand Totais:	S	2,506,308.09	\$	317,570.73	\$	(218,242.09) \$	2,605,636.73
AIRIG LAMIA	-		1		-		

#### Check Register Report

# ITEM 3B

					Check Register Report			
					A/P Checks - December 2014		Date: Time:	7 of 12 01/20/2015 4:30 pm
CITY OF C	OLFAX				BANK: US BANK		Page:	1
Check Number	Check Date	Status	Void/Stop Date	Vendor Number	Vendor Name	Check Description		Amount
US BANK	Checks							
50442	12/05/2014	Reconciled		01448	AMERIGAS - COLFAX	PROPANE DEPOT		16.56
50443 50444	12/05/2014 12/05/2014	Reconciled Reconciled		01790 06420	AUBURN OFFICE PRODUCTS FISHER'S WASTEWATER SERVICES	CREDIT MEMO WWTP OPERATIONS		161.85 8,154.66
50445 50446	12/05/2014 12/05/2014	Reconciled Reconciled		08159 08501	HILL BROTHERS CHEMICAL CO. HOME DEPOT CREDIT SERVICES	CHEMICALS CHRISTMAS TREE SUPPLIES		6,901.59 442.37
50447 50448	12/05/2014 12/05/2014	Reconciled Reconciled		12110 13187	LABOR READY MALCOLM WHITE CONSULTING	LABOR READY SERVICES RULE 20A PG&E COORDINATIO &	N	838.54 2,250.00
50449	12/05/2014	Reconciled		13562	MUNITEMPS	INTERIM CITY CLERK SERVICE	S	1,481.25
50449 50450	12/05/2014	Reconciled		06011	PELLETREAU, ALDERSON & CABRAL	LEGAL FEES NOV '14	-	5,765.15
50451	12/05/2014	Reconciled		16035	PG&E	UTILITIES NOV '14		17,690.40
50452	12/05/2014	Reconciled		18400	RIEBES AUTO PARTS	SUPPLIES		29.59
50453	12/05/2014	Reconciled		19318	SOLARCITY STANLEY CONVERGENT	REFUND, BUILDING PERMIT #94-14 DEPOT SECURITY		65.31 117.99
50454	12/05/2014	Reconciled		19591	SECURITY	DEFOT SECONT		117.00
50455 50456	12/05/2014 12/05/2014	Reconciled Reconciled		19696 06740	SWRCB TYLER TECHNOLOGIES	ANNUAL PERMIT FEES CS FUNDBALANCE SOFTWARE		6,908.00 3,821.98
50457	12/05/2014	Reconciled		21560	US BANK CORPORATE PMT	CONTRACT SUPPLIES		2,096.22
50458	12/05/2014	Reconciled		22106	SYSTEM VAN GRONINGEN & ASSOCIATES	FINANCE CONSULTANT NOV '1	4	3,575.00
50459	12/05/2014	Reconciled		22115	VERIZON CALIFORNIA	PHONE WWTP		182.19
50460	12/05/2014	Reconciled		23169	WAVE BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	PHONE DEPOT		64.79
50461	12/10/2014			011200	24 SEVEN FIRE PROTECTION	FIRE EXTINGUISHER MAINTENANCE		269.20
50462	12/10/2014	Reconciled		01448	AMERIGAS - COLFAX	PROPANE CITY HALL		338.81
50463	12/10/2014	Reconciled		01460	AMERIPRIDE UNIFORM	UNIFORMS & SUPPLIES NOV 11	4	157.22
50464	12/10/2014			02084	BRIGIT S. BARNES & ASSOCIATES	PLANNING SERVICES NOV '14 PUBLIC NOTICE, SOQ'S ON-CA		2,897.50 91.60
50465	12/10/2014			07460	GOLD COUNTRY MEDIA	WWTP TESTING		219.79
50466		Reconciled		08050	HACH COMPANY HBE RENTALS	PROPNE CORP YARD HEATER	9	36.30
50467	12/10/2014	Reconciled Reconciled		08086 08159	HILL BROTHERS CHEMICAL CO		0	908.60
50468 50469		Reconciled		08155	HILLS FLAT LUMBER CO	SUPPLIES		681.39
50470		Reconciled		08200	HINDERLITER, DE LLAMAS & ASSOC	AUDIT SERVICES SALES TAX		600.00
50471	12/10/2014	Reconciled		08660	HUNT AND SONS, INC.	GAS FIRE DEPT		319.00
50472	12/10/2014	Reconciled		09455	INLAND BUSINESS SYSTEMS	COPY MACHINE COPY CHARG	ES	101.65
50473		Reconciled		16202	PLACER COUNTY OES FISCAL UNIT PLACER COUNTY SHERIFFS	FIRE PROTECTION Q1 14/15 PCSO PHONE SEPT, OCT, NO	1	5,375.00 495.30
50474	12/10/2014	Reconciled Reconciled		16200W 19279	DEPT. SERVICE ENGINEERING	'14 WWTP MAINTENANCE	v	148.75
50475 50476	12/10/2014			16600	STATIONARY ENGINEERS, LOCAL 39	HEALTH INSURANCE JAN '15		7,624.00
50477	12/10/2014	Reconciled		20048	TALL BOOTS PUMPING SERVICES	SEPTIC TANK PUMPED		575.00
50478		Reconciled		21500	USA BLUE BOOK, INC	WWTP SUPPLIES		188.57
50479 50480	12/10/2014 12/17/2014	Reconciled Printed		23301 01771	WESTERN PLACER WASTE A T.E.E.M. ELECTRICAL	SLUDGE REMOVAL NOV '14 SCADA PROGRAMING		885.79 2,125.00
50481	12/17/2014	Reconciled		01414	ENGINEER ALHAMBRA & SIERRA SPRINGS	S WATER CITY HALL/CORP YARD/WWTP		81.95
50482	12/17/2014	Reconciled		01766	AT&T MOBILITY	CELL PHONES NOV '14		437.88
50482 50483	12/17/2014			03502	COLFAX AREA CHAMBER OF	REFUND, EVENT APPLICATION	٧,	100.00
50484		Reconciled		04220	DC FROST ASSOCIATES, INC.	HYDRAULIC CYLINDER		6,217.73
50485		Reconciled		04592	DWAYNE ARMSTRONG	INTERNET WWTP JAN '15		99.95
50486 50487	12/17/2014 12/17/2014			07570 09540	GRAINGER INTERSTATE SALES	MANHOLE COVER HOOK SIGNS & POT HOLE PATCH		60.94 1,557.81

#### Check Register Report

#### A/P Checks - December 2014

Check

50488

50489

50490

50491

50492

50493

50494

50495

50496

50497

50498

50499

50500

50501

50502

50503

50504

50505

50506

Number

#### 8,9f,12 Dale: Time: 4:30 pm BANK: US BANK CITY OF COLFAX Page: 2 Status Void/Stop Vendor Check Vendor Name **Check Description** Amount Date Date Number **US BANK Checks** REFUND, EVENT APPLICATION, 100.00 12/17/2014 Reconciled 10575 JUNIOR FALCON FOOTBALL & CHEER LABOR READY LABOR READY SERVICES 1,004.35 12/17/2014 Reconciled 12110 12/17/2014 Reconciled 12180 LAWRENCE & ASSOCIATES INC LANDFILL MONITORING OCT '14 321.80 19390 WWTP SUPPLIES 16.44 12/17/2014 Printed MAR-VAL'S SIERRA MARKET 12/17/2014 Reconciled 13562 **MUNITEMPS** INTERIM CITY CLERK SERVICES 1,905.88 14000 NAGY PRECISION MFG, INC. LIFT STATION #2 STUB SHAFT 1,430.00 12/17/2014 Reconciled 16040 PITNEY BOWES POSTAGE 1,000.00 12/17/2014 Reconciled 16163 PLACER COUNTY CLERK **ELECTION FEES 2014** 1,336.25 12/17/2014 Reconciled 920.00 12/17/2014 Reconciled 18193 RECOLOGY AUBURN PLACER WWTP DEBRIS BOX RENTAL NOV '14 4,890.00 12/17/2014 Reconciled 18378 RICHARDSON & COMPANY, LLP AUDIT SERVICES FY 2014 5,479.25 12/17/2014 Reconciled 21131 UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD PEDESTRIAN XING PROJECT, COMPANY 12/17/2014 Reconciled 23101 LARRY WALKER NPDES/WWTP MONITORING NOV 900.00 '14 INTERNET CORP YARD 272.20 12/17/2014 Reconciled 23169 WAVE BUSINESS SOLUTIONS 12/31/2014 01413 ALLIANT INSURANCE SPECIAL EVENT INSURANCE, 245.00 Printed SERVICES. **PROPANE SHERIFF'S** 468.30 12/31/2014 Printed 01448 **AMERIGAS - COLFAX** SUBSTATION 12/31/2014 Printed 01500 ANDERSON'S SIERRA MANHOLE HOOK 89.36 12/31/2014 Printed 06730 COLFAX FARM AND COUNTRY PROPANE CORP YARD 111.34 STORE 12/31/2014 Printed 04234 DE LANG LANDEN COPY MACHINE CONTRACT 170.93 12/31/2014 04400 DIAMOND WELL DRILLING WWTP MONITORING OCT '14 5,159.00 Printed

			Total Payme	nts: 84	Bank Total (excluding void checks):	166,613.68
			Total Chee	cks: 84 Ch	ecks Total (excluding void checks):	166,613.68
50525	12/31/2014	Printed	23169	WAVE BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	INTERNET CITY HALL	248.28
50524	12/31/2014	Printed	22115	VERIZON CALIFORNIA	PHONE WWTP	179.66
50523	12/31/2014	Printed	21560	US BANK CORPORATE PMT SYSTEM	SUPPLIES	774.03
50522	12/31/2014	Printed	16600	STATIONARY ENGINEERS, LOCAL 39	HEALTH INSURANCE FEB '15	7,624.00
50521	12/31/2014	Printed	16727	PONTICELLO ENTERPRISES	ENGINEERING NOV '14	6,504.50
50520	12/31/2014	Printed	16040	PITNEY BOWES	RED INK	353.68
50519	12/31/2014	Printed	13562	MUNITEMPS	NTERIM CITY CLERK SERVICES	1,264.00
50518	12/31/2014	Printed	13187	MALCOLM WHITE CONSULTING	RULE 20A PG&E COORDINATION	525.00
50517	12/31/2014	Printed	12180		CLANDFILL MONITORING NOV '14	78.75
50516	12/31/2014	Printed	12110	LABOR READY	LABOR READY SERVICES	1,658.13
50515	12/31/2014	Printed	10550	JOSEPH HALTON	ENVELOPES	93.53
50514	12/31/2014	Printed	08660	SERVICES HUNT AND SONS, INC.	GAS PUBLIC WORKS	691.51
50513	12/31/2014	Printed	08501	HOME DEPOT CREDIT	CHRISTMAS TREE LIGHTS	41.62
50512	12/31/2014	Printed	08159	HILL BROTHERS CHEMICAL CO	. CHEMICALS	9,129.55
50511	12/31/2014	Printed	08086	HBE RENTALS	TREE DRILL RENTAL	75.00
50510	12/31/2014	Printed	08070	HANSEN BROS. ENTERPRISES	FERTALIZER FOR DEPOT PINE	64.92
50509	12/31/2014	Printed	07460	GOLD COUNTRY MEDIA	CITY CLERK JOB ADVERTISEMENT	317.00
50508	12/31/2014	Printed	06420	FISHER'S WASTEWATER SERVICES	WWTP OPERATIONS	11,775.53
50507	12/31/2014	Printed	05221	ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING	WWTP CHEMICALS	6,236.72
				CO.		

Bank Total (excluding void checks): 166,613.68

**Total Payments: 84** 

Grand Total (excluding void checks):

166,613.68

ITEM 3B

#### DAILY CASH SUMMARY REPORT

**ITEM 3B** 

**₽æ€:12** 1/20/2015

City of Colfax	12/01/	/2014 - 12/31/2014			1/20/2015 4:29 pm
	<u> </u>		Debit	Credit	Net Chng
Fund: 100 - General Fund					
12/04/2014	Daily Totals		35.00	0.00	35.00
12/05/2014	Daily Totals		3,635.72	0.00	3,635.72
12/11/2014	Daily Totals		2,934.09	0.00	2,934.09
12/18/2014	Daily Totals		1,981.17	0.00	1,981.17
12/31/2014	Daily Totals		19,636.82	0.00	19,636.82
Fund: 100 - General Fund		TOTALS:	28,222.80	0.00	28,222.80
Fund: 244 - CDBG MicroE	Interprise Lending				
12/18/2014	Daily Totals		300.00	0.00	300.00
Fund: 244 - CDBG MicroE	Interprise Lending	TOTALS:	300.00	0.00	300.00
Fund: 250 - Streets - Roads	/Transportation				
12/11/2014	Daily Totals		270.00	0.00	270.00
12/18/2014	Daily Totals		7,156.00	0.00	7,156.00
12/31/2014	Daily Totals		49,575.50	0.00	49,575.50
Fund: 250 - Streets - Roads	/Transportation	TOTALS:	57,001.50	0.00	57,001.50
Fund: 253 - Gas Taxes					
12/02/2014	Daily Totals		8,411.63	0.00	8,411.63
12/31/2014	Daily Totals		4,129.33	0.00	4,129.33
Fund: 253 - Gas Taxes		TOTALS:	12,540.96	0.00	12,540.96
Fund: 292 - Fire Departme	nt Capital Funds				
12/05/2014	Daily Totals		30,922.50	0.00	30,922.50
Fund: 292 - Fire Departme	nt Capital Funds	TOTALS:	30,922.50	0.00	30,922.50
Fund: 560 - Sewer					
12/05/2014	Daily Totals	· · · · ·	61.33	0.00	61.33
12/31/2014	Daily Totals		200.00	0.00	200.00
Fund: 560 - Sewer		TOTALS:	261.33	0.00	261.33
Fund: 561 - Sewer Liftstati	ons				

#### DAILY CASH SUMMARY REPORT

12/01/2014 - 12/31/2014


10Pofet 22 1/20/2015

4:29 pm

			130,063.09	0.00	130,063.09
Fund: 561 - Sewer L	iftstations	TOTALS:	814.00	0.00	814.00
12/31/2014	Daily Totals		407.00	0.00	407.00
12/18/2014	Daily Totals		407.00	0.00	407.00
			Debit	Credit	Net Chng

City of Coflax Retail Sales Tax - Analysis

62,209.17 \$ 62,209.17 67,326.00 \$ 67,326.00 571,943.25 \$ 571,943.25 Summary \$ 113,449.66 \$ 121,570.12 \$ 115,361.06 92,027.24 뉭 \$ FY 2011-12 \$ 67,326.00 \$ 571,943.25 28,500.00 28,500.00 38,000.00 28,000.00 28,000.00 37,300.00 18,364.56 4,727.24 28,170.12 28,170.12 1,785.10 20,361.06 \$ 543,773.13 26,200.00 28,000.00 26,200.00 34,900.00 28,000.00 37,400.00 Amount ŝ ŝ ŝ ŝ Alloc Alloc Delta ğ G/L 23% 5% 24% 15% 33% % CHG 34% 23% Alloc \$ 76,624.90 \$ 76,624.90 Alloc \$ 70,873.50 \$ 70,873.50 \$ 706,828.69 \$ 706,828.69 **Otr Summary** 42,491.18 \$ 151,791.18 \$ 132,634.98 \$ 113,235.44 \$ 161,668.69 Ś 27,235.44 34,700.00 32,700.00 32,700.00 23,634.98 FY 2012-13 45,968.69 \$ (28,170.12) 32,800.00 32,800.00 43,700.00 43,600.00 25,800.00 25,800.00 34,400.00 34,700.00 46,300.00 \$ 575,000.00 \$ 131,828.69 \$ 734,998.81 Amount ŝ ŝ ŝ 10 \$ 5 \$ \$ \$ \$ ŝ \$ ŝ s 12/26/2012 Adv 12/26/2012 Adv 1/9/2012 Adv 2/20/2013 Adv 3/22/2013 Adv 3/22/2013 Adv 5/26/2013 Adv 6/26/2013 Adv 6/26/2013 Adv Adv Adv Adý Adv 10/10/2012 Adv Adv Adi Type 12/26/2012 12/26/2012 9/26/2012 11/21/2012 7/10/2013 8/21/2013 9/26/2012 9/25/2013 **Date Paid** Difference TOTAL Budget Delta **G/L** 62% 31% 31% % CHG 58% 25% 20% 5% \$ 124,456.21 \$ 92,508.00 **Otr Summary** \$ 239,510.74 \$ 165,929.14 \$ 135,929.00 \$ 170,396.20 \$ 928,729.29 58,600.00 92,910.74 38,300.00 \$ 928,729.29 38,329.14 43,100.00 22,229.00 16,696.20 \$ 124,456.21 92,508.00 44,000.00 44,000.00 38,300.00 51,000.00 38,300.00 32,300.00 46,100.00 46,100.00 61,500.00 28,729.29 \$ 928,729.29 \$ 900,000,000 \$ Amount FY 2013-14 ŝ ŝ 5 5 5 ŝ \$ \$ \$ Ś ŝ Ś 1/13/2014 Alloc 5/13/2014 Alloc Adv Adj Adv Adv Adv Adv Adv Adv Adv Adv Type Adv Adv Adv Adj 6/25/2014 7/14/2014 7/1/2014 1/15/2014 2/19/2014 11/20/2011 12/24/2013 3/31/2014 4/16/2014 8/20/2014 9/25/2013 10/9/2013 12/24/2013 3/31/2014 5/21/2014 6/25/2014 Budget (Adjusted) **Date Paid** TOTAL Difference Delta G/L -100% -71% -100% -100% -24% Otr Summary % CHG (44,432.83) \$ 182,867.17 48,700.00 \$ 231,567.17 ŝ ŝ ŝ ŝ ŝ 68,200.00 90,900.00 231,567.17 FY 2014-15 (453,432.83) 231,567.17 68,200.00 48,700.00 685,000.00 Amount s ŝ ŝ ŝ v. \$ ŝ Alloc Alloc Adv Adv Adv Adv Adv Adv Adv Adv Adj Adv Adv Adv μų Type 11/26/2014 12/31/2014 12/31/2014 10/31/2014 8/20/2014 Date Paid Estimate TOTAL Difference Budget Delta G/L Adj (FY12) Placer Co Placer Co Month Q3 Adj Oct Dec Q4 Adj Jan Feb Mar Q1 Adj Q2 Adj Apr May Nov Aug Sep 5 γh



**ITEM 3B** 12 of 12

**ITEM 3C** 1 of 6



# STAFF REPORT TO THE COLFAX CITY COUNCIL

## FOR THE January 28, 2015 COUNCIL MEETING

FROM: Mark Miller, City Manager

**PREPARED BY:** Laurie Van Groningen, Finance Director

**DATE:** January 20, 2015

**SUBJECT:** City of Colfax – Quarterly Investment Report

X	N/A	FUNDED	UN-FUNDED	AMOUNT:	FROM FUND:

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Accept and File City of Colfax Quarterly Investment Report: December 31, 2014.

#### <u>SUMMARY</u>:

Staff recommends that the Council accepts and files the Colfax Quarterly Investment Report for the quarter ended December 31, 2014.

#### BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS:

California Government Code Section 53646 and the City of Colfax Investment Policy require a quarterly investment report be submitted to the City Council. Such report shall include at least the following information:

- Types of Investments;
- Name of the institution in which funds are invested or deposited;
- Date of Maturity, if applicable;
- Par and dollar amount investment for all securities;
- Percent distribution of each type of investment or deposit; current market value as of the date of the report, including source of the valuation except those under LAIF;
- Rate of interest
- Average weighted yield of all investments
- A statement relating the report to the City's Investment Policy; and
- A statement that there are sufficient funds to meet the City's next six months' financial obligations.

The current practice for cash management is to maintain an operating balance between \$75,000 and \$150,000 in the City's US Bank Corporate checking account. This account accumulates Earnings Credits based on the account balance which offset/reduce monthly service charges. City funds in excess of targeted operating balance are transferred to the State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) on a weekly basis. The checking account balance may be reported at an amount higher than the target balance by the Bank due to the timing of city checks being processed by vendors/service providers.

We have begun preliminary analysis of investment opportunities outside the corporate checking and LAIF accounts. Our investment policy dictates that City should have liquid short term securities to meet six month's financial obligations (for fiscal year 2014-2015 six months of expenses is approximately \$1,897,000), which leaves a current long term investment balance of \$714,000. Preliminary rate analysis for Certificate of Deposits (CD) with US Bank requires a minimum balance of \$1,000,000 (uncollateralized) are reflected below:

US E	BANK, NA		GOTIABL	E CD	(A1+/P	1/F1+)				
03M	0.04%	04M	0.06%	05M	0.08%	06M	0.09%	07M	0.07%	
08M	0.10%	09M	0.12%	10M	0.09%	11M	0.11%	12M	0.13%	
18M	0.32%	24M	0.56%	36M	1.12%	48M	1.61%	60M	1.98%	

Staff will continue to monitor balances and investment opportunities.

#### CONCLUSION:

The attached schedule <u>Analysis of Treasury Investment Pool</u> satisfies the State's reporting requirements. Additionally, we have determined:

- The investments held at December 31, 2014 conform to the City Investment Policy adopted by Resolution 29-2014,
- The composite yield of the City's investment pool to be the rate of .26%,
- There are sufficient funds on deposit to meet all anticipated City expenditures for the period January 01, 2015 through June 30, 2015.

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1. Analysis of Treasury Investment Pool
- 2. State of California PMIA and LAIF Performance Report (QE 12/31/14)
- 3. State of California PMIA Average Monthly Effective Yields
- 4. Local Agency Investment Fund Program Description

Analysis of Treasury Investment Pool Quarterly Analysis - FY2014-2015 Report Date: 01/20/2015 **City of Colfax** 

			ď	QE 09/30/2014			ğ	QE 12/31/2014	
					Average				Average
		Date of	Investment	Investment % of Total Investment	Investment	5	nvestment	% of Total	Investment
Type of Investment	Financial Institution	Maturity	Amount	Investment	Yield		Amount	investment	Yield
Investment Fund	State Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	\$ 2,491,433	87%	0.25%	ŝ	2,393,071	92%	0.26%
Corporate Checking	US Bank	N/A	\$ 367,696	13%	0.19%	Ŷ	218,152	8%	0.20%
	Total Investment Pool		\$ 2,859,129	100%	0.24%	Ś	2,611,224	100%	0.26%

## JOHN CHIANG TREASURER STATE OF CALIFORNIA



### **PMIA Performance Report**

PMIA PMIA

Voup!

Date	Daily Yield*	Quarter to Date Yield	Average Maturity (in days)
01/01/15	0.26	0.26	200
01/02/15	0.27	0.26	207
01/03/15	0.27	0.27	207
01/04/15	0.27	0.27	207
01/05/15	0.27	0.27	209
01/06/15	0.27	0.27	208
01/07/15	0.26	0.27	208
01/08/15	0.27	0.27	211
01/09/15	0.27	0.27	213
01/10/15	0.27	0.27	213
01/11/15	0.27	0.27	213
01/12/15	0.27	0.27	212
01/13/15	0.27	0.27	212
01/14/15	0.27	0.27	212

### LAIF Performance Report


#### Quarter Ending 12/31/14


Apportionment Rate:	0.25%
Earnings Ratio:	0.00000696536180771
Fair Value Factor:	0.99998038
Daily:	0.26%
Quarter To Date:	0.26%
Average Life:	200

#### **PMIA Average Monthly Effective Yields**

<b>DEC 2014</b>	0.267%
NOV 2014	0.261%
OCT 2014	0.261%

\*Daily yield does not reflect capital gains or losses









**PMIA Home** 

**PMIA Contacts** 

**Time Deposit Contacts** 

Search

LAIF

Home | Open Government | Careers | Contact

Q)

Home ->> PMIA ->> PMIA Average Monthly Effective Yields



### POOLED MONEY INVESTMENT ACCOUNT

## PMIA Average Monthly Effective Yields

Home

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1977	5.770	5.660	5.660	5.650	5.760	5,850	5,930	6,050	6,090	6.090	6.610	6.730
1978	6.920	7.050	7.140	7.270	7.386	7,569	7.652	7,821	7,871	8,110	8.286	8,769
1979	8.777	8.904	8.820	9.082	9.046	9.224	9,202	9,528	9,259	9.814	10,223	10.218
1980	10.980	11.251	11.490	11.480	12,017	11.798	10,206	9,870	9,945	10.056	10,426	10.961
1981	10.987	11.686	11.130	11,475	12,179	11.442	12.346	12.844	12.059	12.397	11.887	11.484
1982	11.683	12.044	11.835	11:773	12.270	11.994	12.235	11.909	11.151	11.111	10.704	10.401
1983	10.251	9.887	9.688	9,868	9.527	9.600	9.879	10.076	10.202	10,182	10,164	10.227
1984	10.312	10.280	10.382	10.594	10.843	11.119	11.355	11.557	11.597	11.681	11.474	11.024
1985	10.579	10.289	10.118	10.025	10,180	9.743	9,656	9.417	9.572	9.482	9,488	9.371
1986	9.252	9.090	8.958	8.621	8.369	8.225	8,141	7,844	7.512	7,586	7.432	7.439
1987	7.365	7,157	7.205	7,044	7.294	7.289	7,464	7,562	7.712	7.825	8,121	8.071
1988	8.078	8.050	7.945	7.940	7.815	7.929	8,089	8.245	8.341	8.397	8,467	8.563
1989	8.698	8.770	8.870	8,992	9.227	9.204	9,056	8,833	8.801	8.771	8,685	8.645
1990	8.571	8.538	8.506	8.497	8.531	8.538	8.517	8.382	8.333	8.321	8.269	8.279
1991	8.164	8.002	7.775	7,666	7.374	7.169	7.098	7.072	6.859	6.719	6.591	6.318
1992	6.122	5.863	5.680	5,692	5,379	5,323	5,235	4.958	4.760	4.730	4,659	4.647
1993	4.678	4.649	4.624	4,605	4.427	4.554	4.438	4,472	4.430	4.380	4.365	4.384
1994	4.359	4.176	4.248	4,333	4.434	4.623	4,823	4.989	5.106	5.243	5,380	5.528
1995	5,612	5,779	5.934	5,960	6.008	5,997	5,972	5,910	5.832	5.784	5,805	5.748
1996	5.698	5.643	5,557	5.538	5.502	5.548	5.587	5,566	5.601	5.601	5,599	5.574
1997	5.583	5.575	5,580	5,612	5.634	5.667	5.679	5.690	5,707	5.705	5,715	5.744
1998	5.742	5.720	5,680	5,672	5.673	5.671	5.652	5.652	5.639	5.557	5.492	5.374
1999	5.265	5.210	5,136	5,119	5.086	5.095	5.178	5.225	5.274	5.391	5.484	5.639
2000	5.760	5.824	5.851	6.014	6.190	6.349	6.443	6.505	6.502	6.517	6.538	6.535
2001	6.372	6.169	5,976	5.760	5.328	4.958	4.635	4.502	4.288	3.785	3,526	3.261
2002	3.068	2.967	2.861	2.845	2.740	2.687	2.714	2,594	2.604	2.487	2,301	2.201
2003	2.103	1.945	1.904	1.858	1.769	1.697	1.653	1,632	1.635	1.596	1.572	1.545
2004	1.528	1,440	1.474	1,445	1.426	1.469	1.604	1.672	1.771	1.890	2.003	2.134
2005	2.264	2,368	2.542	2.724	2.856	2.967	3.083	3,179	3.324	3.458	3,636	<b>3</b> .808
2006	3.955	4.043	4.142	4.305	4.563	4.700	4.849	4,946	5.023	5.098	5,125	5.129
2007	5.156	5.181	5.214	5.222	5.248	5.250	5,255	5.253	5.231	5,137	4,962	4,801
2008	4.620	4.161	3.777	3,400	3.072	2.894	2,787	2,779	2.774	2.709	2,568	2.353
2009	2.046	1.869	1.822	1.607	1.530	1.377	1.035	0.925	0.750	0.646	0.611	0.569
2010	0.558	0.577	0.547	0.588	0.560	0.528	0.531	0.513	0.500	0.480	0.454	0.462
2011	0.538	0.512	0.500	0.588	0,413	0.448	0,381	0.408	0.378	0.385	0.401	0.382
2012	0.385	0.389	0.383	0,367	0.363	0.358	0.363	0.377	0.348	0.340	0,324	0.326
2013	0.300	0.286	0.285	0.264	0.245	0.244	0.267	0.271	0.257	0.266	0,263	0.264
2014	0.244	0.236	0.2 <b>3</b> 6	0.233	0.228	0.228	0.244	0.260	0,246	0.261	0,261	0.267



## California State Treasurer John Chiang

Home

LAIF Home



**PMIA** 

Home ->> LAIF ->> Program Description



#### LOCAL AGENCY INVESTMENT FUND

## **Program Description**

The Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF), is a voluntary program created by statute; began in 1977 as an investment alternative for California's local governments and special districts and it continues today under Treasurer John Chiang's administration. The enabling legislation for the LAIF is Section 16429.1 et seq. of the California Government Code.

This program offers local agencies the opportunity to participate in a major portfolio, which invests hundreds of millions of dollars, using the investment expertise of the State Treasurer's Office investment staff at no additional cost to the taxpayer. This in-house management team is comprised of civil servants who have each worked for the State Treasurer's Office for an average of 20 years.

The LAIF is part of the Pooled Money Investment Account (PMIA). The PMIA began in 1955 and oversight is provided by the Pooled Money Investment Board (PMIB) and an in-house Investment Committee. The PMIB members are the State Treasurer, Director of Finance, and State Controller.

The Local Investment Advisory Board (LIAB) provides oversight for LAIF. The Board consists of five members as designated by statute. The State Treasurer, as Chairman, or his designated representative appoints two members qualified by training and experience in the field of investment or finance, and two members who are treasurers, finance or fiscal officers or business managers employed by any county, city or local district or municipal corporation of this state.

The term of each appointment is two years or at the pleasure of the appointing authority.

All securities are purchased under the authority of Government Code Section 16430 and 16480.4. The State Treasurer's Office takes delivery of all securities purchased on a delivery versus payment basis using a third party custodian. All investments are purchased at market and a market valuation is conducted monthly.

Additionally, the PMIA has Policies, Goals and Objectives for the portfolio to make certain that our goals of Safety, Liquidity and Yield are not jeopardized and that prudent management prevails. These policies are formulated by Investment Division staff and reviewed by both the PMIB and the LIAB on an annual basis.

The State Treasurer's Office is audited by the Bureau of State Audits on an annual basis and the resulting opinion is posted to the State Treasurer's Office website following its publication. The Bureau of State Audits also has a continuing audit process throughout the year. All investments and LAIF claims are audited on a daily basis by the State Controller's Office as well as an in-house audit process involving three separate divisions.

Under Federal Law, the State of California cannot declare bankruptcy, thereby allowing the Government Code Section 16429.3 to stand. This Section states that "moneys placed with the Treasurer for deposit in the LAIF by cities, counties, special districts, nonprofit corporations, or qualified quasi-governmental agencies shall not be subject to either of the following: (a) transfer or loan pursuant to Sections 16310, 16312, or 16313, or (b) impoundment or seizure by any state official or state agency."

During the 2002 legislative session, California Government Code Section 16429.4 was added to the LAIF's enabling legislation. This Section states that "the right of a city, county, city and county, special district, nonprofit corporation, or qualified quasi-governmental agency to withdraw its deposited moneys from the LAIF, upon demand, may not be altered, impaired, or denied in any way, by any state official or state agency based upon the state's failure to adopt a State Budget by July 1 of each new fiscal year."

The LAIF has grown from 293 participants and \$468 million in 1977 to 2,510 participants and \$19.5 billion at the end of December 2014.

#### Home | Comments | Disclosure and Privacy Notice | Accessibility Statement | Unclaimed Property | Site Map | Download Adobe Reader Copyright © 2014 State of California

ITEM 3C

Home | Open Government | Careers | Contact

Search

I

Q

### **ITEM 3D** 1 of 2



# STAFF REPORT TO THE COLFAX CITY COUNCIL

# FOR THE JANUARY 28, 2015 COUNCIL MEETING

FROM: Mark Miller, City Manager PREPARED BY: Staff DATE: January 20, 2015 SUBJECT: City Clerk Appointment

N/A X FUNDED UN-FUNDED AMOUNT: As budgeted	FROM FUNDS: 100-120/ 560/ 561
--	----------------------------------

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Adopt Resolution 1-2015 Appointing Lorraine Cassidy as City Clerk.

#### SUMMARY:

Staff recently advertised for the City Clerk position. The City received 12 applications and interviewed the top four candidates. The interview panel was unanimous in ranking Lorraine Cassidy as the top Candidate. Mrs. Cassidy subsequently accepted the offer of employment. Colfax Municipal Code Chapter 2.12 states that the City Council, upon recommendation of the City Manager, appoints the City Clerk. The City Manager wholeheartedly recommends that the City Council appoints Lorraine Cassidy as Colfax City Clerk.

#### CONCLUSION:

Staff recommends that council Adopt Resolution 1-2015 Appointing Lorraine Cassidy as City Clerk.

ATTACHMENT: Resolution 1-2015

# City of Colfax City Council

### Resolution № 1-2015

### Appointing Lorraine Cassidy as City Clerk

**Whereas**, Municipal Code 2.12 creates the position of City Clerk and authorizes City Council to appoint an individual to fill that position based on the recommendation of the City Manager; and

**Whereas**, the City Manager conducted a recruitment for the position of City Clerk and as a result of the recruitment Lorraine Cassidy was selected as the top candidate; and

Whereas, the City Manager recommends Mrs. Cassidy to fill the position of City Clerk,

**Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved And Declared** by the City Council of the City of Colfax Lorraine Cassidy is appointed to the position of City Clerk.

The foregoing Resolution was duly and regularly adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Colfax held on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2015 by the following vote of the Council:

AYES: NOES: ABSENT: ABSTAIN:

Kim Douglass, Mayor

ATTEST:

Lorraine Cassidy, City Clerk

## **ITEM 3E** 1 of 1

# Ponticello Enterprises 2015 Schedule Grass Valley Street Railroad Crossing Project Colfax, CA

February 20	Complete Utility Verification process
March 1	Submit Right of Way Certification to Caltrans
March 6	Submit Construction RFA
April 3	Construction Authorization
March 30	Bid Call
April 30	Bid Opening
May 13	Contract Award
June 15	Construction Begins

**ITEM 3F** 1 of 6



# STAFF REPORT TO THE COLFAX CITY COUNCIL

# FOR THE JANUARY 28, 2015 COUNCIL MEETING

FROM: Mark Miller, City Manager
PREPARED BY: Lorraine Cassidy, City Clerk
DATE: January 21, 2015
SUBJECT: City of Colfax Local Agency Biennial Notice

X	N/A	FUNDED	UN-FUNDED	AMOUNT:	FROM FUND:
REC	OMMEND	ED ACTION: Acco	ept and File City	of Colfax Local	Agency Biennial Notice.

#### BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY:

On July 23, 2002, the City Council adopted Resolution 44-2002 to adopt a conflict of interest as required by the Political Reform Act of 1974 (Government Code §87100 et seq) On January 9, 2013 council amended the Conflict of Interest Code for the City of Colfax (attached).

The Political Reform Act requires every government agency to review its conflict-of-interest code biennially to determine if it is accurate or, alternatively, that the code must be amended. Staff has conducted a biennial review to insure that the agency's code includes disclosure by those agency officials who make or participate in making governmental decisions. Staff has determined that no amendment is required.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**:

Accept and file the attached Local Agency Biennial Notice

ATTACHMENT: Conflict of Interest Code – Amended 1-9-2013 2014 Local Agency Biennial Notice

### Conflict of Interest Code for The City of Colfax

The Political Reform Act of 1974 (Government Code Section 81000 et seq.) requires state and local government agencies to adopt and promulgate conflict of interest codes.

The Fair Political Practices Commission ("FPPC") had adopted a regulation (2 Cal. Code of Regs. 18730) which contains the terms of a standard conflict of interest code which may be incorporated by reference in an agency's code, and which may be amended by the Fair Political Practices Commission to conform to amendments in the Political Reform Act, following public notice and hearings.

Therefore, the terms of 2 Cal. Code of Regs. 18730 and any amendments to it duly adopted by the FPPC are hereby incorporated by reference. This Resolution and the attached Appendixes designating officials and employees and establishing disclosure categories shall constitute the Conflict of Interest code for the City of Colfax.

Designated employees shall file statements of economic interests with the City of Colfax. The City of Colfax shall make all statements available for public inspection and reproduction, pursuant to Government Code section 81008.

#### CITY OF COLFAX CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE APPENDIX "A"

#### LIST OF DESIGNATED EMPLOYEES

The following is a listing of those persons who are required to submit Statements of Economic Interests pursuant to the Political Reform Act of 1974, as amended, excluding those persons who are already required to file the Form 700 pursuant to Government Code §87200. Designated employees shall file statements of economic interests with the City Clerk.

1. Members of Boards and Commissions who are required to file Form 700:

Citizen Boards/Commissions:

Economic Development Commission Design Review Commission Ad-Hoc Finance Committee Parks & Recreation Commission All other Boards & Commissions Disclosure Category:

All Boards and Commissions are Category 1 filers

2. Persons occupying any of the following designated positions are required to file Form700:

Department:	Position:	Disclosure Category:
Administrative	Small Cities Organized Risk Group Effort Board of Directors	1
	City Clerk	1
Finance	City Accountant	1
Planning	Planning Director	1
Public Works	City Engineer	1
	Community Services Director	1
Building	Community Services Director	1
Police/Fire	Police Chief	1
	Fire Chief	1
Consultants		1

#### Notes:

1. <u>Consultants</u>. 2 Cal.Code Regs. §18701(a)(2) defines "consultant" as an individual who pursuant to a contract with a state or local government agency:

- (A) Makes a government decision whether to:
  - (i) Approve a rate, rule or regulation;
  - (ii) Adopt or enforce a law;
  - (iii) Issue, deny, suspend, or revoke any permit, license, application, certificate, approval, order, or similar authorization or entitlement;
  - (iv) Authorize the agency to enter into, modify, or renew a contract provided it is the type of contract that requires agency approval;
  - (v) Grant agency approval to a contract that requires approval and to which the agency is a party, or to the specifications for such a contract;
  - (vi) Grant agency approval to a plan, design, report, study, or similar item;
  - (vii) Adopt, or grant agency approval of, policies, standards, or guidelines for the agency, or for any subdivision thereof; or

(B) Serves in a staff capacity with the agency and in that capacity participates in making a governmental decision as defined in regulation 18702.2 or performs the same or substantially all the same duties for the agency that would otherwise be performed by an individual holding a position specified in the agency's Conflict of Interest Code.

The disclosure required of consultants shall be determined on a case by case basis by the City Manager in consultation with the City Attorney. The City Manager may make a determination as to what disclosure, if any, is required by any particular consultant. The City Manager's determination is a public record and shall be retained for public inspection in the same manner and location as this Conflict of Interest Code.

#### EXHIBIT B

#### DISCLOSURE CATEGORIES

1. <u>Full Disclosure</u>. All interests in real property in the City of Colfax, as well as investments, business positions and sources of income, including gifts, loans and travel payments.

2. <u>Full Disclosure Excluding Interests In Real Property</u>. All investments, business positions and sources of income, including gifts, loans and travel payments.

3. <u>Interests in Real Property.</u> All interests in real property in the City of Colfax.

4. <u>Contracting.</u> All investments, business positions and income, including gifts, loans and travel payments, from sources that provide leased facilities, goods, equipment, vehicles, machinery or services, including training or consulting services, of the type used by the City of Colfax.

5. <u>Regulatory, Permit or Licensing.</u> All investments, business positions and income, including gifts, loans and travel payments, from sources that are subject to the regulatory, permit or licensing authority of, or have an application for a license or permit pending before the City of Colfax.

## 2014 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency:	
Mailing Address:	
Contact Person:	Phone No:
F-Mail <sup>.</sup>	

Accurate disclosure is essential to monitor whether officials have conflicts of interest and to help ensure public trust in government. The biennial review examines current programs to ensure that the agency's code includes disclosure by those agency officials who make or participate in making governmental decisions.

This agency has reviewed its conflict of interest code and has determined that (check one box):

#### An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:

(Mark all that apply.)

- o Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated
- Revise disclosure categories
- Revise the titles of existing positions
- Delete positions that no longer make or participate in making governmental decisions
- Other (describe) \_

☐ The code is currently under review by the code reviewing body.

**No amendment is required.** (If your code is more than five years old, amendments may be necessary.)

#### Verification

This agency's conflict of interest code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions. The disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding designated positions. The code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.

Signature of Chief Executive Officer

Date

Complete and return this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended. Please return this notice no later than **October 1, 2014**, or by the date specified by your agency, if earlier, to: *(PLACE RETURN ADDRESS OF THE CODE REVIEWING BODY HERE)* 

#### PLEASE DO NOT RETURN THIS FORM TO THE FPPC



# STAFF REPORT TO THE COLFAX CITY COUNCIL

## For the January 28, 2015 Council Meeting

FROM: Mark Miller, City Manager

PREPARED By: Staff

SUBJECT: Additional Professional Service Requests for Proposals (RFP's)

	N/A X	FUNDED		UN-FUNDED	AMOUNT: Varies	FROM FUND: Multiple - Wastewater, Engineering, Project and General Fund
--	-------	--------	--	-----------	----------------	---


**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Informational only: Receive staff presentation and discuss as appropriate.

#### BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

Due to the size of the City, limited number of City staff and the need for specialized services, Colfax contracts for a number of professional services. These services include public safety (Sheriff and CalFire), wastewater treatment, planning, engineering, construction management and a number of other specialty areas. Some of the services are fixed cost, such as Sherriff/Coroner/Marshall office services - currently at in the final year of a 3-year contract at about \$600,000 per year. Others are based on calls for service, such as Animal Control, currently \$27,500 estimated per year.

To ensure that the ratepayers and citizens are receiving the most cost effective services by the most qualified providers, the City generally uses the Request for Proposals (RFP) process. Additionally, some funding sources, such as Federal Highway grants, require RFPs in order to qualify for the funds. In the RFP process, the specific service requirements are advertised for firms and/or individuals to submit proposals. Most recently, the City has undergone RFP's for soils testing, construction management and city clerk services. Performance, technical abilities, experience and price are some of the evaluation criteria used. Certain professions, such as architecture and engineering, require that price not be considered in initial evaluation. Service contracts are normally termed for 1 to 3 years, and are subject to City Council funding approval through the City budget process for multiple year contracts. Existing satisfactory service providers are encouraged to renew proposals and are equally evaluated with any new proposing providers.

Staff has been evaluating current contracts and bringing recommended proposals to City Council for approval as time and staffing allows. Currently, two of the larger expenditure City contracts, for engineering and planning services, have been in place for a number of years and are beginning the RFP process. Additionally, with the City having several projects receiving Federal funds, updated RFPs are necessary. Staff will bring recommendations to City Council for approval.



# STAFF REPORT TO THE COLFAX CITY COUNCIL

# For the January 28, 2015 Council Meeting

FROM: Mark Miller, City Manager

PREPARED By: Staff

SUBJECT: General Plan Policy Updates

Х	N/A		FUNDED		UN-FUNDED	AMOUNT: N/A	FROM FUND: N/A
---	-----	--	--------	--	-----------	-------------	----------------

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Informational only: Receive staff update and discuss as appropriate.

#### Status Update:

The current City General Plan has a number of general policies that are not well-defined and subject to interpretation. These policies should be clarified, made more definitive, and perhaps updated. Staff and the City Attorney have reviewed these sections and are discussing with additional outside expertise to determine if a City Council policy discussion and findings will provide clarity, or if more formalized action is required. The State allows for a city general plan to be updated four times per year if necessary. Additionally, some of the City's development fees would benefit from similar clarification. Staff expects to complete a recommendation in the next few weeks and bring a suggested action back to City Council. The Council's review should be timely, as a number of potential development projects in town may benefit from the improved definition.

At this time, Staff requests City Council to receive the update and discuss as appropriate.

**ITEM 6C** 1 of 2



# STAFF REPORT TO THE COLFAX CITY COUNCIL

# FOR THE JANUARY 28, 2015 COUNCIL MEETING

FROM: Mark Miller, City Manager

PREPARED BY: Staff

DATE: January 22, 2015

SUBJECT: Financial Risk Assessment Committee Community Appointments

Х	N/A	FUNDED	UN-FUNDED	AMOUNT:	FROM FUND:

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Appoint volunteer community members to the Financial Risk Assessment Committee.

#### BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS:

City Council has established a Financial Risk Assessment Committee to identify those risks within the City that could affect the financial statements, and then to implement internal controls to mitigate identified risks. Council determined that it would be valuable to the City to include Community Volunteers on the committee.

Staff posted a request on the website and emailed City Email Notice Subscribers for community volunteers and received responses from two community members. See attached.

#### CONCLUSION:

Staff recommends that Council appoint both volunteers to the Financial Risk Assessment Committee.

ATTACHMENT:

Notes of Interest from Eric Stauss and Sonja K Vargas

# Volunteers for the Financial Risk Assessment Committee

#### **Eric Stauss**

I have an MBA from UCB (1979). I've been running businesses for the last 35 years. I was President of a retail firm with 67 locations and 1,500 employees and over 100mil sales. I have managed commercial 2 real estate brokerage offices. As a partner with a major developer, I developed over a million SF of commercial real estate in the Bay Area, and managed over 4 million SF there (San Jose's Developer of the Year award in 1983). In Colfax, recently I have rehabilitated nine 4-plex apartment buildings on Iowa Hill Rd (Pinetop) and am currently planning the development of the contiguous 36 acres.

I currently manage six commercial real estate partnerships with 9 buildings in California and Texas, and manage 2 developments in Honduras.

#### Sonja K. Vargas

I have a true passion for local government and would be honored to be considered for this position. Below is a description of my qualifications.

2 year resident of City of Colfax (March 2015 will be 2 years)

40 year resident of Placer County

1980 Colfax High School graduate

7 years employment with Placer County (Planning, Environmental Health & Air Pollution departments) 7 years employment with Town of Loomis (Planning Commission Clerk , Deputy Town Clerk, Issued residential, commercial & industrial building permits, served as Town of Loomis census coordinator) 12 years employment with CALSTAR Air Ambulance, (currently employed as Market Development Coordinator)

Past Cub Scout Leader

Past board member of Soroptimist International Rocklin-Loomis

Current member of Auburn Chamber of Commerce

Current board member of Kiwanis of Greater Colfax